```
INDEX TO WITNESSES
                                                                                                              1
                                      STATE OF FLORIDA
1
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PAGE
                                                                                                              2
                                                                                                                     WITNESSES
                             STATE RETIREMENT COMMISSION
2
                                                                                                              3
                                                                                                                     CLOVIS WATSON, JR.:
                                  CASE NO. 08-13612-JAX
3
                                                                                                                             Direct Examination by Mr. Smith
Cross Examination by Ms. Stevens
                                                                                                                                                                                                     60
                                                                                                              4
4
                                                                                                                                                                                                     84
92
                                                                                                                             Cross Examination by Ms. Stevens Redirect Examination by Mr. Smith Recross Examination by Ms. Stevens Examination by Commissioner Doster Examination by Commissioner Seay Examination by Chairperson Myers
                                                                                                              5
      CLOVIS WATSON, JR.,
5
                                                                                                                                                                                                     93
                                                                                                              6
6
             Petitioner,
                                                                                                                                                                                                      96
                                                                                                                                                                                                     97
                                                                                                              7
7
                                                                                                              8
                                                                                                                     TRACI CAIN:
8
       DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES,
                                                                                                                             Direct Examination by Mr. Smith
Cross Examination by Ms. Stevens
Redirect Examination by Mr. Smith
Recross Examination by Ms. Stevens
                                                                                                               9
                                                                                                                                                                                                     99
9
                                                                                                                                                                                                    113
                                                                                                                                                                                                    119
                                                                                                              10
10
             Respondent.
                                                                                                                                                                                                    122
                                                                                                              11
11
                                                                                                              12
12
                                                                                                              13
                                        VOLUME I OF II
13
                                                                                                              14
                               TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14
                                                                                                              15
                                           May 19, 2009
15
       DATE TAKEN:
                                                                                                              16
                                           1:37 to 6:06 p.m.
16
       TIME:
                                                                                                              17
                                           Department of Management Services
17
                                           4050 Esplanade Way
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
                                                                                                              18
18
                                                                                                              19
                                            STATE RETIREMENT COMMISSION
19
        BEFORE:
       This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:
                                                                                                              20
20
                                                                                                              21
21
                                                                                                              22
22
                                          Reported by:
                                                                                                              23
                        AUDRA M. SMITH, RPR, Court Reporter
23
                            For the Record Reporting, Inc.
1500 Mahan Drive - Suite 140
Tallahassee, Florida, 32308
                                                                                                              .24
24
                                                                                                              25
25
```

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

APPEARANCES

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

STATE RETIREMENT COMMISSIONERS: ALICE MYERS, Chair ERNEST DOSTER, Commissioner MILLIE SEAY, Commissioner REPRESENTING THE STATE RETIREMENT COMMISSION: ANN COCHEU, Legal Adviser LEE ANN GUSTAFSON, Legal Adviser APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER CLOVIS WATSON, JR.: ROD SMITH, ESQUIRE AVERA & SMITH, L.L.P. 2814 SW 13th Street Gainesville, Florida 32608 Telephone: (352) 372-9999 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT DMS: ELIZABETH R. STEVENS, ESQUIRE Office of the General Counsel
Department of Management Services 4050 Esplanade Way, Suite 101 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0950 ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF ALACHUA: MARIAN B. RUSH, ESQUIRE Law Office of Rush & Glassman 11 Southeast Second Avenue Gainesville, Florida 32601 (352) 373-7566 Also Present: Brandi Tanton, Clerk for the State Retirement Commission; Clovis Watson, Jr.; and Joyce Morgan

EXHIBITS PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS: MARKED RECEIVED DESCRIPTION FRS Retirement Guide Respondent's Response to Petitioner's First Request for Admissions, 4/13/09 Admissions, 4/13/09
Composite Personnel File
Employer Contracts '02-'09
Summary Final Judgment for
Defendants with decision,
entered in Alachua County
Petitioner's Request to Produce
to Respondent and all to Respondent and all responses
Petitioner's Complaint filed in Leon County Circuit Court and attachments
Video of Petitioner's appointment to city manager 37 (not rec'd) PESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: MARKED RECEIVED DESCRIPTION NO. Excerpt from FRS Employer Handbook Pages 21-22 Excerpt from FRS Employer Handbook Pages 16-17 Letter from Gib Coerper to Joyce Morgan, dated 11/13/07 37 Respondent's First Request Respondent's First Request for Admissions, 3/9/09 Petitioner's Response to Respondent's First Request for Admissions, 3/17/09 Petitioner's Answers to Therrogatories, 12/9/08
The City of Alachua
organizational chart
The City of Alachua City
Manager Position Description Petitioner's City of Alachua Performance Evaluations FDLE Global Profile Sheet Affidavit of Separation filed with FDLE, 9/7/07

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

It's pretty straightforward: Is he or isn't he eligible?

MR. SMITH: Well, actually, if I may, Madame Chair, first as a matter of business, the City of Alachua was on for DOAH hearing, the original petition filed in this case, because this is a removal of someone who was in the system.

Because it is a removal case, it was originally filed as a DOAH petition. At that time I represented the City of Alachua and Mr. Watson in a number of affairs filed on behalf of the City and Mr. Watson.

But for reasons which will become abundantly clear during the course of this proceeding, it became important that the City had their own attorney participate as to their -- they have an actual separate interest in the outcome of this, and so I would first ask that the City of Alachua, as an interested party, be granted status in this case as they were the Petitioner in the original DOAH case with Clovis Watson, Jr. as to the removal.

MS. COCHEU: Yes. That was going to be a question I was going to get to because obviously we know that the City has an interest in it, and there

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

R

PROCEEDINGS MS. COCHEU: This is a hearing in the case of Clovis Watson, Jr. against the Division of Retirement. This hearing is being held before the State Retirement Commission at the Department of Management Services' offices, 4050 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida, on May 19, 2009. The court reporter is taking a record of this hearing.

My name is Anne Cocheu, and I am the legal adviser to the Commission. To my immediate left is Alice Myers, the chair; and to her left is Commissioner Millie Seay; and on the end is Commissioner Ernest S. Doster.

The Petitioner Clovis Watson, Jr. is being represented by Rod Smith, and the Division of Retirement is being represented by Ms. Stevens.

This hearing will be conducted as informally as is compatible with justice.

Hearsay evidence may be considered, but this Commission may not base a decision entirely on such . .

Now, we do have a joint response to the prehearing order, and I wondered if either of you have any additional stipulations to bring forward?

was not a request to intervene, but now I understand why there wasn't.

more the merrier.

Madame chair, I see no reason -CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Why we can't.
MS. COCHEU: They got a dog in this race.
CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I'm beginning to think the

MR. SMITH: Madame Chair, as a second matter of business, although this is not my first rodeo, it is my first rodeo in front of this Commission, and I want to make sure I understand the record the Commission has in front of it so far.

MS. COCHEU: I'm about ready to read through

MR. SMITH: I know there's a lot of documents that have been filed, there's going to be a bunch more documents filed, but I just want to make sure because I think -- I don't want to speak for Ms. Stevens, but I think we're both in agreement this might get reviewed somewhere else at some point in time, and I want to make sure we have the record in front of this Commission, and ultimately for any court on review.

MS. COCHEU: My next line was going to be all Commission members present have been furnished

1	copies of the documents that have been submitted to	1		
2	the Commission which may be relevant to this			
3	hearing.			
4	These documents constitute the Commission's			
5	file to date, and we will review them now: I	5		
6	understand for the Petitioner's Number 1 is the FRS	6		
7	Retirement Guide for Senior Management Service	7		
8	Class and Special Risk Class, the 2006 edition.	8		
9	Number 2 is	9		
10	MR. SMITH: Excuse me, if I could, I just want	10		
11	to clarify, we are in agreement that the 2006	11		
12	edition was the last published edition that the	12		
13	City had?	13		
14	MS. STEVENS: That is correct.	14		
15	MR. SMITH: I just want to make sure. We're	15		
16	agreeing that the 2006 language is the language	16		
17	that was controlling at the time of the decision?	17		
18	MS. STEVENS: We agree that the 2006 language	18		
19	is the language that's in effect now. We do not	19		
20	stipulate as to whether that was the same language	20		
21	that was available to the Petitioner any time prior 2			
22	to 2006.			
23	MR. SMITH: That's not my	23		
24	MS. COCHEU: Folks, all I'm doing right now is	24		
25	reviewing what the documents are, and then I will	25		
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491			

MS. COCHEU: We received a disk on that.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Our clerk said she tried to view it and couldn't.

MR. SMITH: I had advised counsel that I did not anticipate we would use it. I sent it as a back-up position. I've got all the principals here, so I'm not going to play that; so I'll withdraw that as an exhibit.

MS. COCHEU: Okay. We have for Petitioner -- Respondent's Number 1 is Petitioner's Answers to Interrogatories, dated December of 2008.

Number 2 is a certified copy of the final -of the summary final judgment for defendants in the
circuit court case.

Number 4 is the response -- Petitioner's Response to Respondent's First Request for Admissions.

MS. STEVENS: I think you skipped Number 3 and Number 4.

MS. COCHEU: I'm taking it from two different places because it's rather confusing because the cover sheet is not exactly identical to what's in here; so I wanted to get this stuff first.

Then we have from the joint response --We have Respondent's -- I guess that would be

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

10

12

1	ask you if you have any objections or any comments				
2	on them because that way we need to know what's				
3	in the record first, and then figure out what the	3			
4	significance is.	4			
5	Okay. We have as Number 2 is Chapter 1 of the	5			
6	FRS Employer Handbook.	6			
7	Number 3 is a composite of the Petitioner's	7			
8	personnel file.	8			
9	Number 4 is all employment contracts between	9			
0	the Petitioner and the City of Alachua from June	10			
1	2002 up to including April 2009.	11			
2	Number 5 is another composite. It's a Summary	12			
13	Final Judgment for Defendants entered in Alachua	13			
14	County Circuit Court with a decision from the	14			
15	Appellate Court on the appeal of said summary final	15			
16	judgment.	16			
17	Number 6, Petitioner's Request to Produce to	17			
18	Respondent and all responses thereto.	18			
19	Number 7 is Petitioner's Complaint filed in	19			
20	Leon County Circuit Court with all attachments. We	20			
21	received I'm not sure a video of Petitioner's	21			
22	appointment to the City Manager position.	22			
23	Was that the disk?	23			
24	CHAIRPERSON MYERS: That was given to us this	24			
25	morning.	25			

-- is an excerpt from the FRS Employee
Handbook, Pages 1 through 21 [sic] dated April
2009.

Number 2 is an excerpt from the FRS Employer Handbook, Pages 16 and 17, dated February 2009.

Number 3 is a letter from Gib -- I'm going to mispronounce his name, but I'll spell it -- C-O-E-R-P-E-R to Joyce Morgan, dated November 13, 2007.

Respondent's First Request for Admissions, dated March 9, 2009. Already done the interrogatories.

The next one is the City of Alachua organizational chart.

The next one is the City of Alachua City Manager Position Description.

Next is Petitioner's City of Alachua Performance Evaluations completed while Petitioner was City Manager.

Next one is the current FDLE Global Profile Sheet for Petitioner.

Next one is the Affidavit of Separation filed with FDLE for the City of Alachua for Petitioner with a cover letter, dated September 7, 2007.

1	Next is a letter from Michael Crews to Clovis
2	Watson, Jr., dated July 18, 2007.
3	Next is a letter from Rod Smith to Michael
4	Crews, dated August 1, 2007.
5	The next one is an undated letter from Grace
6	Jaye. That's J-a-y-e to Rod Smith.
7	The next one is a letter from Mr. Smith to
8	Ms. Jaye, dated September 7, 2007.
9	The next one is a letter from Ms. Jaye to
10	Mr. Smith, dated September 12, 2007.
11	We have joint exhibits of Summary Final
12	Judgment for Defendants in Case 01-07-CA-824.
13	The next one is a letter from Mr. Smith to
14	Joyce Morgan, dated July 17, 2007.
15	And the last one is a letter from Gib Coerper
16	to Joyce Morgan, dated August 9, 2007.
17	Now, I'm sure there are other things. I'll go
18	with you first, Mr. Smith. Were there other
19	exhibits?
20	MR. SMITH: Actually there are other
21	documents, and I want to find out the status on
22	those, and then maybe I'll know how to do this.
23	There has been there was a motion for
24	summary final order. There was a response to the
25	motion for

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

raised estoppel from the original petition on, and the first time was in a late-filed response from Ms. Stevens in which she said, Our answer is you can't use estoppel because we didn't know.

Well, we have documents that will prove -- and do prove -- that of course they knew or certainly should have known since he was submitting documents on behalf of the City bringing employees back into FRS under the title "City Manager."

MS. STEVENS: Just so I may clarify, my response was not that they cannot claim estoppel because we didn't know. My response is they cannot claim estoppel because they don't meet any of the elements. There was no representation by the Division that they relied on to their detriment. Nothing. No representation by the Division. They did not rely on anything that we said to their detriment because we didn't even know about it for years after he became the City Manager. He became the City Manager in 2002.

The letter that they sent to us is an ordinance where all he did was sign his name over City Manager, and that's supposed to notify us that he's in Special Risk and a City Manager at the same time.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

MS. COCHEU: We're not there yet. MR. SMITH: If I may, there were attachments to those documents, which if those are not in the record, then at the appropriate time I want to move those into the record. MS. STEVENS: Madame Chair, I would object to any of those documents being admitted in evidence. We didn't -- if there are any documents that we did not receive prior to -- 15 days prior to the hearing, you haven't had those documents before you, you just got them, I believe this morning, and a response to my response to his response to my motion filed less than 24 hours prior to the hearing, they should not be admitted. MR. SMITH: Madame Chair, if I may respond to that. Actually the rules we were given is you weren't supposed to file anything within 11 days of the hearing. They chose to file a motion in which they, for the first time, took the position that they didn't know that he was the City Manager, and therefore, I couldn't raise an estoppel argument. Based on that, I've attached documents showing

It absolutely does not do that. It was not sent to enrollment. It was not sent to individuals who would determine whether a Special Risk member is eligible for the Senior Management Service Class membership or vice versa.

MS. COCHEU: Let me go back to my original question: Of the documents that I read out, are there any objections to their admission? Not the stuff you guys just brought up.

MR. SMITH: No.

MS. COCHEU: Okay. Let's get through step one.

MS. STEVENS: Yes, ma'am.

MS. COCHEU: The next one is --

MS. STEVENS: Yes, ma'am, there are some objections.

MS. COCHEU: Let's identify.

MS. STEVENS: Sure. In Composite Exhibit 3, he filed the entire employment file. There are specific documents in there that we believe should be excluded from evidence.

The issue here today is whether the Petitioner is eligible for Special Risk while a city manager. His employment records predating his time as a city manager don't matter. What he did before he was a

that of course they knew he was the City Manager.

They certainly knew it as early as 2004. Those

documents are germane to this hearing. I have

city manager doesn't matter. It doesn't matter that he received a recognition letter from the senior cha-chas. It doesn't matter. Anything predating June or August of 2002 is completely irrelevant to this case.

A

There are also a number of certificates predating his employment as City Manager. Those also have no relevance on whether as a matter of law he's eligible for Special Risk benefits while employed as a city manager.

There are also -- I'm sorry. This is still Composite 3. That's why I'm still talking about it. There is also an FDLE Officer Profile Sheet, dated August 16, 2004 and March 3, 2005. These Officer Profile Sheets are irrelevant.

We have filed the current officer profile sheet; however, after March 3, 2005, the last FDLE Officer Profile Sheet, there was a substantial change because up to that point he had been reported as a law enforcement officer to FDLE, so of course it shows him as active law enforcement with active certification.

Well, after that date, Alachua Police

Department filed an Affidavit of Separation with

FDLE separating him from his employment as a law

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

relevance of all the documents in his career. Some of which, admittedly what I didn't want to do is go through and start redacting anything out of there, and then be facing an argument; so I didn't even redact numbers I normally redact because I submitted the entire the personnel file.

Her argument goes to weight, not relevance. It should be admitted for whatever weight this Commission decides to give it.

To the degree it's hearsay, this Commission can make decisions based on hearsay, they just can't be the exclusive basis for the finding of fact -- the records in front of you -- and it should be something we consider, particularly when the final argument we're going to raise and the most compelling argument is about the equities of what -- the fairness of what's happened here, something this court -- this Commission has specifically allowed in the past and addressed in the past, and you need the entire picture to understand what people believe and why they believe it at the time.

MS. COCHEU: Madame Chair, I know from this morning's concern that you had a cherry picking of pieces and parts, I know that none of the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

enforcement officer. Those officer reports are outdated. They have nothing to do with this case.

Those are my objections to Composite 3, if you'd like to me to proceed?

MR. SMITH: First of all, almost every one of those arguments goes to weight not relevance.

Second of all, anything he did after 1983 is germane because this case is about who knew what and why decisions were made at the time they were made; so the fact that subsequent decisions were made that may very well have given us information that weren't -- that didn't previously exist and wasn't being acted on by the City or the Petitioner, at the time that those decisions were being made, that's relevant for this Commission.

And we're going to have lots of testimony in here why he became the City Manager, the circumstances, the reason those contracts were entered, the beliefs that everybody held at the time based on records that existed at that time. She can argue relevance. They're plainly relevant. Whether or not what weight you give them, that will be for the Commissioners to make their decision regarding, but I don't think there's any argument that the relevance of his -- that there is not

Commissioners particularly liked seeing a file like this, but apparently Mr. Smith is making the representation that it's the complete file.

And, yeah, there's probably some "I'm a great guy" stuff in there, but I know you-all can pick through that.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I think it was all filed, so we've all had the file for a short time, and I went through and picked out what I thought was relevant, and I just glanced through the rest of it to see -- to make sure I picked out what I felt was going to help me make my decision on this, and I'm sure that the other Commissioners have done the same thing.

MS. COCHEU: Unfortunately, you know, these were not paginated. It would have been a whole lot easier to say, Pages "ta-da" are not relevant.

But having said that, do you have any remarks now, Ms. Stevens?

MS. STEVENS: As I said, as you're going through your file, I know that he's submitted the entire employment file. But as you're going through the file, keep in mind that we're here to decide whether he, as a city manager under the Senior Management Service Class statute and Special

1	Risk statute, is eligible for Special Risk Class	1	my objections to his exhibits depend on whether
2	membership; so	2	that's going to be an issue that you actually hear,
3	CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We understand that.	3	and I would like to present our interpretation of
4	MR. SMITH: I want to respond to that because	4	the statutes regarding the plan code correction.
5	I want you to go back and find the original	5	MS. COCHEU: All right.
6	petition which is filed, the subsequent petition	6	MR. SMITH: I thought right now we're going
7	which we filed after they finally after several	7	through trying to get the exhibits in order.
8	letters gave me what they call "final agency	8	MS. COCHEU: Yeah.
9	action."	9	MR. SMITH: I don't understand how we're
10	Our position has been from the outset that the	10	having argument
11	question here is whether or not he was properly	11	MS. STEVENS: And, sir, that's what we're
12	removed from this class of Special Risk which is	12	trying to do, but I cannot
13	what we're really here about; the removal, whether	13	MR. SMITH: Madame Chair, normally, when I
14	or not that can be sustained; and the burden is on	14	have the floor
15	them to sustain it; and if it is otherwise	15	MS. STEVENS: Excuse me.
16	sustainable, whether or not there are equities that	16	MR. SMITH: I finish the floor, and then
17	ought to come into play in this particular case.	17	the other side gets it. Now, I'm not going to
18	That's the issue in front of this Commission.	18	stand here and be interrupted.
19	This Commission understands you do this all	19	MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry. I was speaking to
20	the time you understand what we're doing. If I	20	you and you interrupted me.
21	had gone through and taken this and redacted	21	CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Well, I think you
22	anything, somebody would say, "It was not a	22	interrupted her.
23	complete record."	23	MR. SMITH: I thought she had stopped. I
24	So I said, "Don't even redact the Social	24	apologize for that.
25	Security number. Give me the file just as you have	25	MS. GUSTAFSON: Perhaps we should go ahead and
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491	FC	R THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
	22		24

```
remember that this is a quasi-judicial body, and
         it." I have the clerk here if we needed to certify
                                                                    1
                                                                             you should probably let the chair run the meeting
                                                                    2
2
                                                                    3
                                                                             instead of you.
              MS. STEVENS: Before we proceed, I do have
                                                                                  MS, COCHEU: All right. Now, I understand
                                                                    4
         other objections, but they're based on an issue
                                                                             your concern is -- depending on how the Commission
         that's still pending: Whether the plan code
                                                                    5
5
                                                                    6
                                                                             rules -- you may have some objections to other
         correction is actually an issue before this
6
                                                                    7
                                                                             documents, and that's what we were on.
         Commission; so I don't know if we need to take it
                                                                                  MS. STEVENS: Yes, ma'am.
         up at this time, otherwise my next objections will
                                                                    Ω
                                                                    9
                                                                                  MS. COCHEU: Okay. What would your objections
         depend on your decision on that matter.
9
                                                                             be?
              MS. COCHEU: All right. In response to
                                                                    10
10
11
         Mr. Smith's -- one of his remarks, I think you-all
                                                                    11
                                                                                  MS. STEVENS: What would they be depends on
                                                                    12
                                                                             how the Commission ---
         know that the petition that is in this file that
12
                                                                                  MS. COCHEU: Yes. Yes. Let's try that tact.
                                                                    13
13
         triggered this file opening up was "I was
         wrongfully removed from Special Risk Class by the
                                                                    14
                                                                                  MS. STEVENS: Composite 6. We would object to
14
                                                                    15
                                                                             the 3/31/2008 letter from Mr. Smith to Matt Minnow.
         Division of Retirement from September 2002
15
                                                                             It involves the circuit court complaint which has
16
         forward."
                                                                    16
                                                                             nothing to do with the merits of this case.
                                                                    17
17
              The petition was received in the clerk's
                                                                                  MS. COCHEU: What else?
                                                                    18
         office on December 4, 2007. Everybody here
18
                                                                                  MS. STEVENS: The October 16, 2002 letter from
         understands that's what triggered this; so we're
                                                                    19
19
         all clear on that.
                                                                    20
                                                                              Mr. Smith to Joyce Morgan at the Division. Again
20
                                                                             it's regarding the actual removal process, not
                                                                    21
              Now, the next issues that you have with some
21
                                                                             whether he's entitled to Special Risk, but the
                                                                    22
22
         of the exhibits, Ms. Stevens.
                                                                    23
                                                                              process and him not immediately receiving a final
23
              MS. STEVENS: Ma'am, as I just stated, I would
                                                                    24
                                                                              agency action letter, and it has nothing to do with
         like to clarify the issue of whether the changing
24
         of the plan code is the issue because the rest of
                                                                    25
                                                                              the merits of whether he's entitled to Special Risk
25
```

1	membership.	1
2	MS. COCHEU: I thought it might. I think it	2
3	might.	3
4	COMMISSIONER SEAY: That was wrongfully	4
5	issued.	5
6	MS. STEVENS: The issue before this Commission	6
7	the issue depends on the letter that was written	7
8	by the administrator. Sarahbeth Snuggs, the	8
9	Director of the Division, wrote a letter to	9
10	Mr. Watson that he was not entitled to inclusion in	10
11	the Special Risk Class membership. We are not	11
12	talking about the process in which he was removed.	12
13	It was I guess you could term it as "a	13
14	removal," but it's not it's not the actual steps	14
15	that the Division took. It's his entitlement to	15
16	the senior or to the Special Risk Class.	16
17	The Special Risk statute says that if the	17
18	Division does not designate a member as Special	18
19	Risk, which is what happened in this class, I'm	19
20	sorry, in this case the member may appeal to the	20
21	Commission for designation as a Special Risk	21
22	member. We are here to determine whether he is	22
23	eligible for Special Risk while employed as a city	23
24	manager.	24
25	In 121.23, which details the jurisdiction of	25

on going, because we're never going to get to the hearing because you guys will argue for the next three hours. Maybe that's what we got to do.

MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry. What was the last objection that I had made to --

Madame Court Reporter?

COMMISSIONER SEAY: The removal process.

MS. STEVENS: Also, the October 26, 2007 letter from Rod Smith to Larry Scott. It's again remarking only the process, not whether he's entitled to the class or not, but only the process.

The November 7, 2007 letter from Rod Smith to Joyce Morgan at the Division. There are actually two of these, and it's regarding, like I said, only the process, not his entitlement.

The November 16, 2007 letter from Gib Coerper to Governor Crist. We said that it's irrelevant, he's listed as a witness, and he can testify to anything he wants to testify to if he wants to.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Smith, let's hear what you have to say.

MR. SMITH: Fortunately much of this record is already in front of you in the circuit court case. What occurred was he was notified -- the City was notified that he had been removed from Special

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850,222,5491

26

28

the Commission, it says, "It is limited to the final decision of the administrator on the merits." Excuse me. The merits of this case are whether he's entitled to Special Risk Class membership while employed as City Manager. That is why there was a plan code correction and he was effectively placed in the Senior Management Service Class.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, subject matter jurisdiction arises only by virtue of law. It can't be agreed on between the parties, "Oh, well, you promised this could happen or this happened." That doesn't matter. Your jurisdiction is based only on what it says in the statutes and what it says in the Constitution.

As it pertains to Special Risk, you can decide whether someone should be designated as a Special Risk member. That's it. But let's talk for a second maybe --

MS. COCHEU: No, hold on. We're not getting into a little argument here. You recognize -- we recognize up here that this is a determination on the Special Risk, and it may well be that there are factors surrounding this whole issue that may or may not be of concern to the Commissioners when they're deliberating; so without going into a complete argument, just make your record and keep

Risk.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We filed a timely DOAH request that he be given a hearing on his removal. They responded -and you have it in front of you -- saying that the request is premature. We haven't made a final action.

Now, he was removed retroactive to 2002 effective June of -- at that time effective September of 2007.

When a month passed and we hadn't heard a response, you bet I followed up with a letter saying, "I haven't gotten a final agency action, but I want to reiterate I want this transferred over to DOAH for my hearing on his removal."

I did another letter approximately 10 days later, at which time I then received -- and you have it in front of you, and this is why you need this entire record and so does the Court on appeal.

Then we get an answer saying we have a final agency action, and the final agency action is to disallow his -- to declare that position not to be eligible, which I had sent a letter -- and the letter to Joyce Morgan makes it very clear -- we're delaying having an application on this issue until we understand whether he was correctly removed

R

under the circumstances of this case, and I filed an amended petition for a DOAH hearing, and then I filed a request for a hearing in front of this organization -- this Commission -- and in that I said the issue was I was, quote, wrongfully terminated from my -- removed, excuse me, from my position.

⊿

This record is clear that what we're here about is -- it's inextricably intertwined. But the real question that got us here is whether or not he was correctly removed, whether he was properly removed, the process of removal -- I don't know what the process of removal is. It's you're either removed or you're still in.

He was removed because the money was sent back, and we didn't accept it. All that's going to come in front of you -- and this record is plainly a record that the Court of Appeals needs to understand the complexity of -- and I would like to add that in my motion that I filed -- my response that I filed with you yesterday, I included Mr. Scott's and Mr. Button's response to the circuit court case in which they said, "You don't need to do this. We're going to give you a full hearing on these issues in front of the State

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

going to ask for you to make today.

MS. COCHEU: Madame Chair, you make the big bucks.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I should live so long. I really, truly think -- and I think Elizabeth knows well enough -- that we are going to pick out what's relevant and what isn't relevant when we go through these when we read these.

We're here to see if he was dismissed from Special Risk, and if the process they did it was proper, and so those are things we're going to do; so this is just merely giving us a little background information on what was going on which isn't -- we'll weigh it for what it's worth, okay?

MS. STEVENS: As long as my objection is noted on the record. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I understand, and we do.
COMMISSIONER SEAY: Madame Chairman, I'm a
little confused here. I need to clarify.

So is it our job to determine whether or not the process to get him to dismissal, is that our role?

MS. COCHEU: No. Really your role is whether or not he was properly or improperly placed and removed --

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Retirement Commission, and therefore the State Retirement Commission is where you need to be."

Now, that's in their response by their motion to dismiss my action for declaratory. They also filed a motion with DOAH -- Mr. Button on behalf of the Division -- in which Mr. Button said to DOAH that essentially you don't need to have a hearing because now the issue's going to be in front of the State

Now, if she's right and we're not hear about removal, then I should be in front of a DOAH hearing officer, and that should have happened two years ago.

If they're right, the Department's previous position which is that I should be here, I'm here, I have my witnesses, but I want a full record of how we got here, why this has taken two years to get here, and it is clearly appropriate that I got an answer in which their response to my question of "What about his removal" is we've declared that position not to be eligible.

That doesn't even respond, I submit to you, to the original question, but assuming that they're inextricably intertwined, it all should be in front of you for a complete record for the decision we're COMMISSIONER SEAY: We have the authority to do that?

MS. COCHEU: Yeah. And I think part of the argument, obviously is process motivated in it.

COMMISSIONER SEAY: To get to a decision.

MS. COCHEU: Right, to get to the ultimate issue that you guys got to determine.

COMMISSIONER SEAY: Okay.

MS. COCHEU: Now, Ms. Stevens, were there any other objections to the documents that Petitioner has proposed?

MS. STEVENS: Yes, ma'am. Just for the record, this would be the same objection as I had been raising, the circuit court complaint with all the exhibits, I'd just like to have it noted on the record I would object to any of those documents in evidence.

MS. COCHEU: All right. Mr. Smith, are there any documents that the Respondent has proposed to which you have an objection?

MR. SMITH: No, I think some of them actually -- as I was going through, I think some of them may be duplicative, but I'm not going to go back. It's fine. I think a couple of letters she's put in are actually attached. I don't care. I have no

1 objection to what they want to put in. 1 MS. COCHEU: Now, at this point I have not 2 2 3 asked the City of Alachua what its position is? 3 MR. RUDE: Essentially Mr. Smith is setting 4 4 5 forth the positions for the City of Alachua. The 5 R one question that I would raise, which again goes 6 7 to the argument that Ms. Stevens made, is if we 7 cannot get the decision of whether he was properly 8 removed from this Commission -- which is the 9 g 10 original petition, the original everything that was 10 11 11 filed -- then we need to go back to where it was 12 which was DOAH, which in the cases I've read 12 there's a recommendation that goes back up to this 13 13 14 14 Commission again. 15 15 So it seems to me we're going to get to the same place, but we just want to make sure on the 16 16 17 record that what was petitioned and what was asked 17 18 18 for is a hearing on the wrongful removal without 19 any notice, without any opportunity to be heard, 19 20 just to plain get a letter that says, "This is it," 20 21 21 and that letter also said, "You should file for a DOAH hearing." That's the letter that we received 22 22 23 23 from DMS. 24 24 So I just wanted to make that clear, but 25 otherwise the City is adopting, just for the 25 it. Brandi, you left copies.

COMMISSION CLERK TANTON: I gave you and Alice a copy because I just got it.

MS. COCHEU: You have the faxed one, and I got the hard copy for the file. I got the original.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We haven't really read through this.

MS. COCHEU: I think this is probably the appropriate time to bring this up. Given that there are a substantial number of exhibits and I believe there will be a number of witnesses, sometimes with these bigger cases, the Commission does not deliberate at the time; that they would want to probably have some time to digest the matters and would request a proposed recommended order from the parties.

Would that be the pleasure of the Commission?

COMMISSIONER DOSTER: That sounds good.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I would think so because we got -- this, as I say, was given to me this morning; so we had a full schedule this morning as you know, and we have had no opportunity to read this, but we can hear everybody and everything and take notes and then --

MS. COCHEU: Get a transcript.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

34

36

1 record, so we can have it a little more smooth 1 2 sailing -- that we are adopting the arguments being 2 3 presented by Mr. Smith. 3 MS. COCHEU: Thank you. Now, the other issue with respect to documents concerns the materials 5 6 6 that we just received: Petitioner's Reply Memorandum to Respondent's Response to Objection, 7 7 and there were some attachments thereto that came 8 up for objection. 10 Ms. Stevens, would you like to weigh in on 10 11 11 that? 12 12 MS. STEVENS: Normally, I would object to such 13 13 a late filing, but, no, I don't have any objection in this case. It didn't say anything profound so 14 14 15 15 it's fine. MS. COCHEU: Have the Commission members had 16 16 an opportunity to review that? It's a six-page 17 17 18 pleading with attachments. 18 19 COMMISSIONER SEAY: Can you please repeat what 9 20 20 you're referring to? 21 MS. COCHEU: The Petitioner's Reply Memorandum 21 22 to Respondent's Response to Objection that we 22 received this morning. I will show you. 23 23 24 24 COMMISSIONER SEAY: I got it. MS. COCHEU: I wanted to make sure you have 25 25

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: -- get a transcript, and you can write your closing, and we can read those, and we can notify you of our decision, or you can be present when we make the decision if you want to.

MS. COCHEU: Yeah. I think that because there are interested parties who are likely to have this on appeal, that to give everybody a fair shot, I think they're going to need to digest this stuff.

MS. STEVENS: Just for clarification, Madame Chair, did you say that we would be writing our closing?

MS. GUSTAFSON: Proposed recommended order.

MS. STEVENS: Proposed recommended order. Okav.

MS. COCHEU: You can obviously make an argument, but in terms of them looking at this page and that page and all, it's going to take them a long time to assemble it.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: What do we have coming up? Where are we?

MS. COCHEU: Any other -- I guess, the question is any other exhibits that we don't have before us that I haven't mentioned.

MR. SMITH: Give me just a second.

1	MS. COCHEU: Because the next thing is the	1	Bonnie Burgess. I also have Jean Calderwood and
2	witnesses. We need to ID them.	2	Gib Coerper here. There are three commissioners
3	COMMISSIONER SEAY: We've gone through all th	e 3	that I don't know that I will call. I don't know
4	exhibits so far, so we hold on to everything?	4	that I need to put on all three commissioners.
5	MS. COCHEU: Yeah.	5	MS. COCHEU: Ms. Stevens?
6	COMMISSIONER SEAY: We're going to need a co	оу 6	MS. STEVENS: Joyce Morgan from the Division,
7	of this?	7	and Terry Baker from FDLE.
8	MS. COCHEU: Brandi, can you make copies for	8	MS. COCHEU: Would all of those people whose
9	everybody?	9	names that were mentioned by the counsel please
10	(Petitioner's, Respondent's and Composite	10	stand and raise your right hand so our court
11	Exhibits were identified and received into the	11	reporter can swear you in.
12	record.)	12	(The witnesses were sworn.)
13	MR. SMITH: I think that that constitutes	13	MS. COCHEU: Now, does either party wish to
14	as I bring up witnesses, I have files for them. If	14	invoke the rule of excluding witnesses?
15	I have something, obviously I'll show it, but I	15	MS. STEVENS: Yes, ma'am.
16	don't think I have anything that's been listed, and	16	MR. SMITH: Yes, ma'am.
17	I think everything we listed has been placed in	17	MS. COCHEU: All right. Now, we've got to
18	front of you already.	18	find a place for these folks.
19	MS. STEVENS: All right. We do not have	19	CHAIRPERSON MYERS: There is a library out
20	anything else.	20	there.
21	MS. COCHEU: Okay. Each party should be given	21	MS. COCHEU: Okay. Because I didn't want them
22	an opportunity to make an opening and closing	22	just sitting in the hall.
23	statement. The Petitioner should make his	23	All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the rule of
24	presentation first and then the Respondent.	24	exclusion has been invoked. That means that you
25	The parties shall have an opportunity to	25	will not be allowed in the hearing room until your
FOR	THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491	FC	R THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

40

```
respond to all evidence and testimony, including
                                                                     1
1
         cross-examination of witnesses, and the
                                                                     2
2
                                                                     3
3
         introduction of rebuttal evidence is permissible.
              Each party shall make a closing argument and
                                                                     4
4
         the Petitioner -- well, actually each party should
                                                                     5
5
6
         be making a closing argument in addition to
                                                                     6
                                                                     7
         submitting a proposed recommended order which can
                                                                     8
         include more argument on facts, the evidence, and
8
         the law to be applied.
9
                                                                     10
10
              This hearing is being conducted to determine
         whether or not Mr. Watson is entitled to Special
                                                                     11
11
         Risk membership. Only testimony and evidence
                                                                     12
12
         relevant to issues in the hearing will be allowed.
                                                                     13
13
         Testimony or evidence which is repetitive or
                                                                     14
14
         cumulative will not be permitted.
                                                                     15
15
              Are all witnesses who are to testify present?
                                                                     16
16
                                                                     17
17
              MR. SMITH: Yes.
              MS. COCHEU: All right. Would you --
                                                                     18
18
         Petitioner, would you tell us who the witnesses are
                                                                     19
19
20
         because I'm going to have everybody sworn in.
                                                                     20
                                                                     21
              MR. SMITH: Yes. I will list all my
21
                                                                     22
         witnesses, but I actually think I'm only going to
22
                                                                     23
23
         call four depending on how it goes because I think
                                                                     24
24
         some would be cumulative.
              Clovis Watson, Jr; Traci Cain; Joel DeCoursey;
                                                                     25
25
```

time to testify comes.

We apparently have a place for you to make yourself comfortable, as comfortable as possible.

The witnesses are cautioned not to discuss the case either before or after testifying until they're excused. Counsel for either party may enter into discussions with their respective witnesses.

Witnesses are to remain available after testifying until the chair excuses them with the consent of both parties.

Brandi, can you step these ladies and gentlemen out?

COMMISSION CLERK TANTON: Sure.

MR. SMITH: If I might say for all of you, the order I gave is the order I intend to call you if that helps anybody.

MS. COCHEU: All right. It's time for opening.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Smith, would you like to give your opening statement?

MR. SMITH: Yes. Thank you to the Commission. You obviously have a great deal of documents and motions and information in front of you, but I want to tell you very briefly the case that I'm going to

present because this is a unique circumstance that probably could never be replicated, and finding out how we got here is an extraordinary journey.

R

The City of Alachua is a small town in North Florida that has now probably about 8,000 people. But probably had about 6,000 people in 2002, and in 1983 was probably half of that.

The City of Alachua has a very limited staff, and we'll have somebody that will talk about the positions they had. They did not -- in certainly any of the time relevant here, didn't have a personnel or a human resources department. They had basically a set of departments which included the finance department which did everything from bill you for your water to send in salary, and some clerk at some point was assigned the job of sending in the monthly reports for retirement.

Clovis Watson was hired into the police Department in the City of Alachua in 1983 as a patrol officer. He was the first African-American to be hired in the police department. He was going to -- had a high school education at the time, going to college, and he continued to work in that department for the next 19 years.

In 1996, Clovis became a major, which in the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

administration, and they said to Mr. Watson, "We would like you to come in and be the Interim City Manager."

Mr. Watson will testify that he went to see then the -- well, there was only one lawyer in town then. When he came to see me, basically the advice he got was, "Don't give up your day job for City Manager because the life span around here is not very long."

Mr. Watson will tell you that he required three things of the City, and you have it in your packet. In June of 2002 he entered into a contract as the Interim City Manager with the following stipulations: One, if for any reason you don't like the job I'm doing, you can fire me as City Manager, but you have to put me back as Deputy Chief because I'm not giving up 19 years as a police officer over three votes on a Commission that may change at any time.

Two: I stay in the high risk plan, and you agree that I stay in the high risk plan, and we will accommodate my job so that it meets the requirements of the high risk plan. You have the contract in front of you.

Well, at the time Mr. Watson took over as

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Department that's the rank because the department has rankings, paramilitary rankings, but his job was actually Deputy Chief.

During all of this period of time he was in the high risk retirement plan, and for that there's really -- there's no consternation.

What occurs really in terms of understanding how we got where we are now happened in 2000. In 2000, a relatively long-term city manager left the City of Alachua; and between 2000 and 2002 we managed to go through four city managers at about an every-six-month interval, in the course of which the City Commission became frustrated because at least in the case -- they'll testify, in the case of one city manager, by the time we got to know who he was, he'd already left.

And so they made a decision that they wanted to get somebody with some stability because we had lost city managers and the life spans had been about six months.

In 2002, the Department -- excuse me -- the Commission came to Clovis Watson. By then he had finished his bachelor's degree and was working on his master's degree. He had a law enforcement degree, and he was now getting his degree in

Interim City Manager in June -- actually I think the contract is approved by the City on June 26, 2002. I think there was discussion for a couple weeks before that.

After two months, the City decided Mr. Watson was doing as well as anybody else had done. He'd survived almost a third of the normal life span for city managers, and so they decided to give him the position of permanent City Manager.

Again, Mr. Watson said, "Okay, I'll take the job, but here's the problem: I've got 19 years in Special Risk. I take care of my mother. I'm getting my master's degree. I'm working my way towards --" we'll get his educational accomplishments "-- I want to retire under Special Risk."

The City said, "Fine."

Now, what happens in the interval sounds like a grand conspiracy, but it's really going to turn out to be very, very simple.

The City of Alachua in 2002 had a finance director, and that was the only person that dealt with payroll at all, and the only person that dealt with retirement was a clerk who was assigned to send in a monthly report.

When Mr. Watson was transferred to the position of City Manager/Police Commissioner -- which they give him that title because part of the deal with the City was, "Okay. I'll agree that I will keep my certification, and I will participate in investigations in the police department, and we will talk about -- and I will supervise the police department, in exchange for which you will allow me to continue in this. And if at any time you get rid of me, I go back and I'm reassigned to the police department because I'm not taking the job as City Manager without that stipulation. I've got plans of my own."

 Everything goes fine. A city clerk files -continues to file what's called an HB filing. HB's
the code for Special Risk. We'll have a witness
who will say the record shows that nobody changed
anything. They continued to turn him in, and when
he became the permanent City Manager, they
continued to file it as an HB, but what they did
was they notified -- because he had been making
about \$54,000. His salary went to \$80,000. The
only thing changed was they showed a \$25,000 pay
increase. No questions were asked.

Mr. Watson was never -- there won't be any

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

school zone because the lady was late that's supposed to work there; so there was no question that Clovis was supervising somebody that was directly involved -- and he was intimately involved and even made decisions regarding recommendations as to who would be arrested, what crimes would be taken by the department, et cetera.

In 2003, Mr. Watson made the decision that the city employees of the City of Alachua had been removed from FRS, and they had gone into the --some of you remember this, the Florida League of Cities had a municipal plan, and they had sold the city employees on this in 1996.

In 2003, Mr. Watson, the City Manager, made the decision that they wanted to bring -- give the city employees -- and the City offered to pay and agreed to pay they would pay -- they would recover from League of Cities, pay any shortfall, and you can buy your way back into the FRS.

In 2004, Mr. Watson will tell you that Andy Snuggs from the DMS came down, made a presentation to the City of Alachua. Mr. Watson was hosting to the city employees to give them the plan, and at which time Mr. Watson talked about and openly said, "This is one of the reasons that I have remained in

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

questions. Mr. Watson was never presented by the City, nor by any agency, any election that he chose to elect to remain within the provisions of any plan or not because it didn't occur to Mr. Watson.

In 2002, when he took the job, the other thing that happened is that the long-term City Clerk, as fate would have it, was out on Family Medical Leave for pregnancy. She took Family Medical Leave, so nobody checked with anybody regarding the records, but she will go back and show -- and you have his personnel file. But she will tell you, she's checked the records, nobody received anything.

The first time there was any communication after 2002 as to any change except for the substantial increase in his pay that went out was in -- and Mr. Watson the question never arises, he kept his certification every year, he kept his credits every year, and he kept the title as City Manager, Ex Officio Police Commissioner and stayed involved in the management of the department.

So that we don't think this is NYPD, I want to give everybody an understanding here. The size of the shifts in the Alachua Police Department is four. So the Chief writes tickets, the Chief — the Chief will testify that today he was at the

the high risk plan is because if you move to another job, you can stay in high risk."

As a matter of fact, there are documents that Ms. Cain will talk about in communications with Ms. Cain in which Mr. Watson set most of the paperwork up. He was always listed as the City Manager for the City of Alachua.

As a matter of fact, DMS came back in October and said, "By the way, you need to pass an ordinance now adopting what we've agreed to do."

An ordinance was passed and you have a copy of that ordinance attached, and that ordinance was passed and provided to them and Clovis signed it as City Manager, and the ordinance passed at the request of DMS. Nobody in 2004 raised any issue about this.

In 2007, the testimony will be that DMS -- in 2007, that one individual in particular ran for City Commission unsuccessfully, and he sued the City enough times that he's built on to my house, and he's lost every time and continues to lose.

But among the other things he did was he filed a complaint with Ms. Morgan on June the 21st, and he filed a complaint with the Department saying that Mr. Watson was improperly claiming Special

Risk pension benefits.

On June 28, the Mayor gets a letter, and it says, "You're hereby notified that your City Manager...and you're removed from the plan."

We filed, as you know, a timely appeal, and all of that is in front of you and will be discussed. There are really two things I wanted to show here.

Number one, I want to show that he should have been, would have been, could have been eligible to stay in, and he will testify -- and the Mayor will testify, everybody -- Mr. Watson would never have entered into this position of City Manager had he thought this jeopardized his Special Risk.

The City will tell you that they know they owe a tremendous shortfall. The money has been offered back to the City. The City has sent the money back because they take the position he should have been maintained.

You have cases, which we will discuss in our closing. You have cases where the issue is also fairness and equity: What did people know and when?

It is plain that Mr. Watson -- and if you read the contracts -- and you have them in front of you,

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

never made an election, no one ever gave him an election. People plainly knew at some point in time he was in that position, and if it was a small city government that made a mistake in terms of how they reported, there wasn't anybody to do the reporting. I know the statute says the personnel department. There wasn't one.

So that's really what we're going to be here about. That's the case I'm going to present. I've got Mr. Watson; Ms. Cain, she's the person who's been involved in all the administrative. She's the person who's done all the administrative work who was off during that period of time. I got the Chief of Police to talk about Mr. Watson's involvement and the role of the police department; and I have Bonnie Burgess, the Mayor, who was the Mayor at that time. I have three commissioners here, but the Mayor who is now the Mayor who was the Mayor when Clovis was hired who will say -- and we're not going to play the film -- that Clovis' requirements were, "I won't take the job if it costs me my retirement", and that's how we got here.

We think that the statute and the rules could be read to where it fits Mr. Watson; but beyond

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

and I invite you to read though -- you will see that everything was structured to make sure that Mr. Watson -- it was very clear -- that as Police Commissioner, he was going to remain certified, he was going to remain in the plan; and that he was going to perform these special functions because of that.

As a matter of fact, the testimony will be that now the City has grown some and prospered some, and they have two Assistant City Managers assigned to to different functions of the City, but not to the police department because the Assistant City Managers don't have any authority over the police department. The police department answers directly to Clovis Watson as they always have under all of these agreements.

I want to tell everybody this case isn't really about money. Mr. Watson gets his money. This case is about time. He lost five years. It doesn't matter -- Mr. Watson has now announced, and the testimony will be he's leaving the City. He's taking another position.

The question is he's lost five years, and there's no way to make it up. And at no time during that five years did Mr. Watson or any -- he

that, we think -- and we submit to you -- that fairness and equity are that Mr. Watson ought to get these five years. Nobody's trying to get something that wasn't paid, wasn't earned. None of that happened.

And finally, we think that it's critically important to understand that Mr. Watson's problem with retirement is one that's solely based on the fact that because of the age and time matrix for SMCS, his retirement's been delayed dramatically if he does not prevail. It's certainly been delayed by at least the five years that he retroactively lost all the way back to -- ultimately they've gone back and directed it to June of 2002.

Mr. Watson kept his certification up. The question came up, the testimony will be, that Mr. Watson -- and he was listed by the police department as police officer because they were sending it in for purposes of credits.

Mr. Watson will say that that was fine with him because he kept his credits up. FDLE, when they found out about this, they required him to go into inactive status, but inactive status as a certified police officer. Mr. Watson was never separated from the police department. He was

placed on separation. His separation was to clarify he can't hold two offices. We know that. That will be dual office holding. You can't be an active police officer and the city manager, but you can be the city manager and a certified police officer which was all that was trying to be accomplished in this.

ß

We think that this case is one in which the facts are not going to be hotly disputed. I think most of facts are going to be pretty plain. I think the question now is that whether or not it is just a rule per se, as has been suggested, that a city manager is a compulsory position and, therefore, can never make an election.

Well, the answer is, we don't think that's true. A city manager is a compulsory position, but a member who is a Special Risk member still has rights under the statute. If that's the case, then we're going to present a case that will show he never had an election. Nobody -- the City didn't give him an election, the Department didn't give him an election, no one ever asked the question. Mr. Watson acted all times in good faith, and that's the issue that will be in front of this Commission. Thank you.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

a law enforcement officer. Clovis Watson is not employed as a law enforcement officer. He is employed as a city manager. It doesn't matter. You can be certified all day long, but if you're not employed as a law enforcement officer, it doesn't matter.

You can be the direct supervisor -- and I'm certainly not stipulating that he is -- but you can be the direct supervisor of first-line Special Risk members, and it doesn't matter because you're not a law enforcement officer.

Under the Special Risk statute he doesn't fit. Certification doesn't matter. Duties don't matter because he's not employed as a law enforcement officer.

So where does he go? Take a look at the Senior Management Service Class statute. It specifically says, "That except as provided in Subparagraph (2) below --" which I'll talk about "-- City Managers are Compulsory Senior Management Service Class members. That's where he goes.

Well, it does say, "except as provided in Subparagraph (2)."

So what does that say? Instead of participating in Senior Management Service Class,

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

MS. STEVENS: Thank you, Commissioners. As you heard, the Petitioner was a police officer with the Alachua Police Department from 1983 to 2002.

In 2002, he was appointed as the City Manager for the City of Alachua. The issue here today is whether the Petitioner is actually entitled to Special Risk Retirement while he was employed as the City Manager.

Now, despite what the Petitioner would like you to believe, this case isn't decided on things like, The City would really like him to have Special Risk Retirement, or, We just don't think that it's fair, or, Clovis Watson is a nice guy, or, He's done good things for the City.

That doesn't matter. What matters here are two statutes: The Special Risk statute and the Senior Management Service Class statute.

First we'll take a look at the Special Risk statute because that's where he's trying to get into. It's 121.0515, and you've been provided copies of those.

This provides the criteria for Special Risk Class membership. Subsection (2)(a) specifically deals with law enforcement officers. The first criteria listed is that you have to be employed as

he can withdraw from FRS altogether.

The Special Risk Class was already established when the statute came about, and for city managers that is not an option. They can be in Senior Management Service Class or they can opt out of FRS

The Petitioner is a city manager. He belongs in the Senior Management Service Class.

Now, you've heard Mr. Smith talk about this election. This election is found in the Senior Management Service Class statute. However, if you look at the statute -- it's found in Subsection (6) -- this election is reserved for individuals eligible for the Senior Management Optional Annuity Program, because Subsection (6) creates or establishes this program, which is also called SMOAP. I'll refer to it as SMOAP. If you're not eligible for SMOAP, you don't even go to Subsection (6). It's not even an option for you.

Only state employees are eligible for SMOAP. How do we know this? When you look at the statute, you look at (a), (b), (c), you go down, each particular subsection talks about a different category of positions.

City managers and other local compulsory

2 3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

23

agency employees are found under Subsection (1)(b). 1 2 At the end of each subsection, like I said, if you look at the end, it will say in the other 3 4 subsections -- not dealing with local agency 5 employees, it says they can also go -- or they can 6 also be a member of SMOAP. It does not say that in Subsection (b) which deals with local agency employers. It says that they can withdraw from 8 9 FRS. You don't go to Subsection (6), and if you 10 don't go to Subsection (6), you certainly don't go to Subsection (6)(c)(3). It's not an option. 11 However, let's entertain the idea that it may 12 be an option. The statute says that the election 13 has to be filed -- it shall be filed -- which is 14 15

7

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

g

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

mandatory -- must be filed within 90 days of the appointment to a Senior Management Service Class position. The Petitioner has admitted the failing to file an election in Answer Number 1 to the interrogatories which have been filed with you. The Division didn't even know of his

appointment to the Senior Management Service Class until years after he was appointed. Certainly not within the 90 days.

And he says, "Well, no one ever told me about the election. It's not fair."

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Special Risk as City Manager because as the testimony of Joyce Morgan will say, he would be the absolute first city manager or county manager that's in Special Risk. He would be the only one. He would be setting a new precedence for all city managers who have ever been in Special Risk to remain in the Special Risk Class because there's not one, there never has been, and there isn't currently any city manager or county manager that has remained in the Special Risk Class.

We've looked at the Special Risk statute. He doesn't fit. He's not employed as a law enforcement officer. Certification or not. It's where your employed.

I'm a lawyer, and I could go be a janitor. It doesn't make me a lawyer. It's clear where it belongs. The City doesn't decide where he belongs. Mr. Watson doesn't decide where he belongs. The legislature decides where he belongs, and the legislature has said that city managers are Compulsory Senior Management Service Class members. and we request that you uphold the Division's decision to deny his membership in the Special Risk Class for this period of time.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Thank you. Do you want to

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

60

58

Well, number one, you're not entitled, but even if you were entitled, how in the world would we tell you about it because he had been reported by the City as a law enforcement officer since 1983. There was never any change.

They were sending in their reports that said all of the individuals in the city that were in FRS -- have their names, have their codes -- he was reported under the law enforcement officer code since 1983.

Why would we question that? Why would we send him a notice when he didn't even tell us he was switching his position?

And, also, he says it was so important to me -- and you'll see in the affidavits that were filed -- that he says, you know, that he went to the Chief of police at the time to make sure he was being reported the right way.

Well, he never came to the Division. It's so important to him that he stay in the Special Risk Class, yet he never came to the Division and asked us. He just took the position assuming.

And the courts have said the cities are not agents of the Division. We didn't tell him, and we would certainly never tell him he's entitled to

call a witness?

MR. SMITH: Yes. We'd request a five-minute break.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken from 2:46 to

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Smith, are you ready for your first witness?

MR. SMITH: I call my first witness. Clovis Watson.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Watson's going to be your first witness. He's been previously sworn.

> * * * * * Whereupon,

CLOVIS WATSON, JR.

was called as a witness, having been first duly sworn to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. SMITH:

Q. State your full name, sir.

Clovis Watson, Jr. Α.

22 And where do you reside?

> A. 16591 Northwest 129th Terrace, Alachua,

Florida. 24

25 Q. How long have you lived in Alachua, Florida?

1	A. All my life.	1	sergeant, how long did you stay in that position?
2	Q. And how many years would that be?	2	A. Until 1996.
3	A. 50 plus 51 I'm not 51 yet. I'll be 51	3	Q. And in 1996 how has your employment changed?
4	this year.	4	A. I was promoted to the position of Deputy Chief
5	Q. And what is your employment?	5	of Police.
6	A. City Manager, City of Alachua.	6	Q. Did that have a rank?
7	Q. When were you first employed with the City of	7	A. Major.
8	Alachua?	8	Q. And without going in all of the structure of
9	A. 1983.	9	the police department, at the time you became the Deputy
10	Q. Tell this Commission what position that you	10	Chief in 1996, approximately what was the size of the
11	were hired into at the City of Alachua.	11	Department.
12	A. Patrolman.	12	A. Approximately maybe 15, 16, something like
13	Q. And at the time in 1983 when you were hired	13	that.
14	into the Alachua Police Department, how many officers	14	Q. That included you and the Chief?
15	did the City have?	15	A. That's correct, if my memory serves me
16	A. I think we had about between 9 and 11, I	16	correctly.
17	believe, if that.	17	Q. And what were the duties that you had as the
18	Q. And	18	Deputy Chief?
19	A. Something like that.	19	A. I supervised the day-to-day operations
20	Q. And if I could go back	20	certainly under the directions of the Chief. I was also
21	A. If I remember correctly.	21	the PIO, public information for the City. I wrote all
22	Q at the time, how many African-Americans did	22	the press releases. I was over grants and contracts, as
23	you have in the City of Alachua Police Department?	23	well as school resources, community policing, a great
24	MS. STEVENS: Relevance? I don't know how hir	n 24	deal of day-to-day functions.
25	being an African-American has anything to do with	25	Q. Between 1983 at the time you were hired in
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491		FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
	TOW THE RECORD REPORTED THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		
	62		64
	62		64
1		1	
1	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement.	1 2	1983, what was your education?
2	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I	2	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high
2 3	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a	2 3	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school.
2 3 4	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why	2 3 4	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm
2 3 4 5	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him.	2 3 4 5	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't
2 3 4 5 6	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years	2 3 4 5 6	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do
2 3 4 5 6 7	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with	2 3 4 5 6 7	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through your career which we have all recognized.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education changed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through your career which we have all recognized. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education changed? A. Completed an associate's degree in criminal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through your career which we have all recognized. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. BY MR. SMITH:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education changed? A. Completed an associate's degree in criminal justice technology.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through your career which we have all recognized. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. BY MR. SMITH: Q. And how long did you reign the position of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	1983, what was your education? A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education changed? A. Completed an associate's degree in criminal justice technology. Q. And were you pursuing any others?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through your career which we have all recognized. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. BY MR. SMITH: Q. And how long did you reign the position of patrolman?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education changed? A. Completed an associate's degree in criminal justice technology. Q. And were you pursuing any others? A. I was pursuing my bachelor's.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being City Manager or Special Risk Retirement. MR. SMITH: Actually, if you'll allow me, I will show that he was hired because there was a racial incident, and that was a primary concern why they were hiring him. MS. STEVENS: I just don't know why 19 years later would have anything to do with MR. SMITH: Well, he's been African-American all his life. MS. STEVENS: Really? That's amazing. I think Joyce has, too. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Actually, I don't think there's a relevance in it. I mean, in this day and age, why then and you have proven that you can do your job and have done very well. I mean, you certainly have a high recommendation all through your career which we have all recognized. THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. BY MR. SMITH: Q. And how long did you reign the position of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. When I was hired in '83, I only had my high school. MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry, Commissioners. I'm going to have to object to this, too. I just don't understand what his education has anything to do with his retirement benefits. MR. SMITH: Seems to me we normally give background on witnesses so you understand CHAIRPERSON MYERS: We give a little background so we know. We don't go MR. SMITH: I won't spend a great deal of time on it. BY MR. SMITH: Q. I think it's important because by 1996 when you became the Deputy Chief, how had your education changed? A. Completed an associate's degree in criminal justice technology. Q. And were you pursuing any others? A. I was pursuing my bachelor's. Q. After 1996 before I leave that, in the City

A. I was promoted to the position of sergeant.

Q. And when you were promoted to the position of

24

25

24 well as the Chief actively involve themselves in the

25 enforcement of the laws, pursuit and apprehension of

4 5

6

12

13

14

15

16

20

1

21

22

23

24

66

violators?

A. We did everything, and it is the same way for 2 most small municipalities. The entire department sort 3 of operates where everyone puts their hand in the jar, if you will, to help out with the operations of the 5 6 Department. You do traffic, you do investigations, you do -- if someone is not available, a traffic light goes out, you go out and do it. You don't have the luxury of some of the larger municipalities where you can sit in the office and someone else does it. 10

11 Q. In 2002 did your position change in the City 12 of Alachua?

A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

16

22

Tell the Commission how that came about.

A. Well, the City had gone through approximately four or five city managers in a very short period of time. I was the Deputy Chief. I attended a great deal of the Commission meetings.

actually attending that particular meeting, and I was there as the police official, and the Commission -after they fired this final city manager, they stated they wanted to pick someone within the City of Alachua to sit in in the interim until a permanent city manager 24 was found, and I was the one they picked, and certainly

Then we had the last one they fired, and I was

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

contract because the City was going through a very, very 1 2 difficult time.

Q. In August of -- this was in June of 2002 --

A. That was June 5, 2002.

In August of 2002, did you again change your status with the City?

7 At that time they liked what was happening. 8 They liked the energy and some of the initiatives I was 9 putting forth in the community as Interim, and they 10 offered the position to me permanently, and certainly I accepted with those stipulations in the contract. 11

Q. And again I have presented, I think, a series of contacts that go from August all the way to date. But in those contracts, have you retained the original right to return to the police department at any time?

A. To this very day.

Q. And moreover in the contracts, was it provided 17 that there was to be a title of City Manager/Ex Officio 18 19 Police Commissioner?

A. That's correct.

21 Q. Tell the Commission why the City added the ex officio title of police commissioner to your position. 22

A. Well, one they wanted me to -- as I wanted to 23 24 and they agreed -- to keep my high-risk status.

25 Number 2, because I had been with the City of

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

68

I was honored and blessed with the opportunity, but 2 certainly I had some concerns.

Q. What were the concerns that you had about becoming the Interim City Manager?

A. Well, one, you know, it was -- city managers were going through like a conveyor belt.

Number 2, my -- as I stated and as you alluded to -- my high risk retirement, where I spent the better part of 19 years with the police Department.

Q. And did you -- as a result of that, did you 10 require a written contract with the City? 11

A. Yes, I did.

Q. I'm not going to go through and reidentify 13 things that are already in this record, but you first 14 had a contract as the Interim Chief? 15

A. Yes. Interim City Manager.

Q. Excuse me. Interim City Manager. 17

18

Q. And without going in all the details, were you 19 then -- if they decided not to retain you as City 20

Manager, what would happen? 21

Well, I asked for a provision to allow me to go back to the police department in the same or similar 23

role if it did not work out as the City Manager, and

that was -- and the Commission agreed to put that in the

Alachua for so very long, you establish a rapport with

the community where everyone looks to you. They wanted 2

me to work closely with the police department because of

so many of the issues. I knew the families, I knew the 4

areas -- in particular -- the concerns, the drug areas, 5

6 and they wanted me to play a role in looking at video,

7 different things of that nature -- too much to get into

8 at this particular time, and I did that in assisting the

9 police department as well as having my high-risk status. 10 Q. Were you required to remain as -- to keep that

11 police commissioner title -- to remain certified with the State of Florida so if, in fact, you were removed

you were eligible to go back immediately to your sworn 14 position?

A. That was their requirement of me. My 15

16 requirement of them was to allow the things that I

stated. Their requirement of me was to have my 17 18 certificate active so if they do send me back -- or I

elect to go back -- then I was certified so that I could 19

work with the police department. 20

Q. And between 2002 to date, have you kept your certification with the State of Florida FDLE as a law enforcement officer?

Α. Yes, I have.

25 Q. And that was a requirement of your position?

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

2

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

That's correct.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

- Okav. Now, in 2004 -- late 2003, early 2004, did you, as City Manager, make any decisions regarding the status of some of the city employees related to the state retirement system?
- Yes. And I really need to explain that, if I may. When I was -- I had been working for the City for quite some time, so I was grandfathered in with state retirement.

And in 1996, I believe, one of the former city managers elected to go to Florida League of Cities. Well, I can tell you from being a long-time employee and knowing all of the employees, they were not happy with that. They didn't think it was a good system, even if the manager did, and the finance director at the time thought it was.

So when I was blessed with this opportunity to be the City Manager, one of the first things we looked at is to evaluate getting back, even though it didn't happen until '04, but one of the first things I advised my staff to do was evaluate getting back into the Florida Retirement System.

And let me be clear: It was not self-serving 24 because I was grandfathered in. I was already getting state retirement, but they weren't. Half of our

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

being reported because after they approved it -- and I sincerely did not know there was something else to do, and if I had. I would have, but I sincerely didn't know.

Q. Did anybody ever from the City or from any agency of the state provide you any papers by which you were to make an election or a selection of which system you were to be in?

A. No. I don't think they knew.

Q. Now, in 2004, pursuant to this idea that you had to move the employees after 1996 back into state retirement, did you have anybody from the Division of Retirement come down and meet with the employees of the

14 A. Yes, It was a lady in -- I cannot think of her name. The guy -- I cannot think of his name. Right 15 now, if you say it, I might recall. 16

Q. Do you recall meeting with Andy Snuggs?

18 Mr. Snuggs and another lady with him came and did a presentation for all of my employees. 19

And one thing I will add being sworn as city 20 manager. I wanted the officers to be clear that it's a 21 22 good opportunity, and I stated in this meeting with Mr. Snuggs that it afforded me the opportunity to get into an administrative role and maintain my high risk retirement. This was discussed in this open meeting and

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

72

employees were, half were not, and I wanted all of us to be on one plan because I do think the Florida State Retirement is the best system in the State of Florida.

Q. Did you assign that job, if you will, of getting them back into the system to any of your

6 subordinates?

A. I assigned it to Traci Cain.

Q. Okay. Now, before I leave this, in 2002, first when you were named the interim manager and then named the permanent manager, did you have a personnel or human resource department in the City?

Not at the time. I worked as the manager to bring some serious structure to the City, and we have grown immensely under my directive the last few years.

But in 2002, was there a personnel department? O

Α. No.

A human resources department? 17 Q.

18

In 2002, did you know how the City reported 19 you to the Department of -- to the Division of 20

21 Retirement?

A. I didn't know how, but I advised the Chief at the time and made sure he continued to report me high 23 risk -- well, he was at the meeting when the Commission stated it, and so he continued to report me as I was

there may be minutes to it if the state took any, and that was me making the officers comfortable that if you go up the ranks, you still would have that opportunity. 3

Q. And outside of that meeting, did you talk with Mr. Snuggs about the role you were playing in the police department?

7 A. We talked on the side, but I don't remember everything we said, but we had conversations about 8 9 Alachua and me leading the community now and certainly me being a former cop certainly, but nothing officially 10 11 to him, just ---

Q. Was anything raised in conversation or otherwise when you said that you had remained in the Special Risk pension -- the high risk pension, I'm sorry -- I think is what you called it?

A. No. I think the officers asked a couple questions, and I really can't recall what they were, but that was something I shared with them because I wanted my staff to buy into it because when you make a change, you really want to explain it to your staff, and I just truly thought that this was much better than the retirement system the previous manager had elected to take.

Q. And I'll get into this later with Ms. Cain for 25 the most part, but during this period of time in

1	exchange of documents, was the City eventually required	1	Q. Prior to that date, had you had anyone ever
2	to pass an ordinance adopting a plan that would transfer	2	ask you any questions from any Division regarding or
3	the employees into the state retirement system?	3	any Department regarding your status in the retirement
4	A. Yes. It's part of the process.	4	system?
5	Q. You have that document that ordinance is	5	A. No. That was the first time I knew of it.
6	already in the record. And at that time, you signed it	6	Q. Now, there's in this I think it has already
7	as the City Manager?	7	been filed in some documents from a Mr. Grapski?
8	A. That's correct.	8	A. Yes.
9	Q. When was the first time you ever learned there	9	 Q. I'm not going to spend a lot of time on this,
10	was any issue regarding your retirement status?	10	but Mr. Grapski was the party and his attorney was Mr.
11	 A. I think the same day, and I don't know whether 	11	Little. Mr. Grapski and Mr. Little were the ones that
12	it was which came first. I was excuse me.	12	made the original
13	Q. Do you remember being informed of a letter	13	MS. STEVENS: Relevancy?
14	that Mayor Coerper had received?	14	MR. SMITH: I think it's extremely relevant to
15	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	15	talk about the nature of how this allegation
16	Q. Do you remember that?	16	came about, and the fact that nobody ever asked.
17	A. Give me a second.	17	There was an immediate response of pulling him out
18	Q. Yeah. Take a break?	18	of the system, never given a hearing before he was
19	A. Yes, I was with my mother.	19	pulled out of the system on the basis of
20	Q. I want to ask you very quick back up just	20	allegations by somebody who was a disgruntled
21	because you mentioned this, who was there?	21	officer seeker who had
22	A. Give me a second. Hold on.	22	MS. STEVENS: I'm sorry. I don't understand
23	MR. SMITH: Could we have a break for a	23	why that needs to be on the record. The individual
24	second?	24	we got our information from was a follow-up call
25	(Whereupon, a brief recess was had and Mr.	25	from the Governor's office asking us to investigate
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491		FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

76

```
Watson left the room.)
1
2
    BY MR. SMITH:
3
         Q. Mr. Watson, before I go back to the last
    question, I want to back up just a moment and ask you
4
    this, you mentioned your mother -- and I know that's a
5
    difficult situation, but was there a specific reason at
6
    the time that you took the position that you informed
7
8
    the City of why it was important for you to have early
9
    retirement?
```

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. Yes. I have a disabled mother that I take care of, and that was the time frame that I planned to do that. But certainly I'm looking at other opportunities, but certainly '08 is -- really I planned to retire in November of '08, and this came up; so that certainly changed things quite a bit, so I apologize for getting a little emotional when I talk about my mother because she's a little -- not in good shape.

Q. I think my question to you was when was the first time you actually remember there being any issue raised --

A. It was on TV-20, and I was there with her, and 22 that's when she got worried because she thought no one would be there, but certainly my sister's stepping in. 24 It was -- I think I got the letter the same day it was 25 on TV-20 if I'm not mistaken from the Tallahassee area.

Mr. Watson's situation. I don't know why that's relevant.

MR. SMITH: That's interesting, because if they were supposed to investigate, I don't remember that investigation taking place. All I remember is eight days later they got a notice he'd been removed retroactive to 2002. That's some investigation.

MS. STEVENS: The investigation is to look at a provision and say, He's a City Manager. The statute says, City Managers go here, and he goes here. That's it.

COMMISSIONER SEAY: Madame Chairman, I would wonder why this occurred at this point.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Why, yes.

COMMISSIONER SEAY: Why would it have not happened before that? I think that's relevant.

MS. STEVENS: That was the time we received notice. Who we received the notice from, I don't know why that would be relevant.

COMMISSIONER SEAY: Seems unusual --MS. STEVENS: So if Mr. Watson was arrested: and the charges were later thrown out, I don't know why that would be relevant. It's not even relevant

22 23 24

25

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Q. And the assistant city managers, are they MR. SMITH: You just put it in. You just put 2 that in the record. You must have thought it was 2 assigned to respective departments within the government of the City? 3 3 pretty relevant? 4 A. Yes, I have assisting and operating public 4 MS. STEVENS: No. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I think we're going to 5 works, recreation, and so many other things. Utilities, 5 but not the police department. 6 have to go ahead with it. 7 And the police department chief answers to 7 BY MR. SMITH: 8 whom? 8 Q. Who was Mr. Grapski? 9 A. To me. A. He was someone who has continued to disagree 9 10 And does the Chief, to your knowledge, 10 with the direction of the City of Alachua and sued us on actively involve himself in the day-to-day arrests, -- I don't know -- too many times to count. It's cost 11 11 pursuit, and apprehension of violators of the law? 12 the City over a million dollars. 12 Absolutely. It's not an option. Q. And prior to your receiving that letter, after 13 13 Q. And still does? 14 Mr. Grapski made these complaints and lawyers made these 14 15 Absolutely. complaints, have you ever had any question or inquiry Α. 15 made of you regarding what you were doing at the City or 16 Q. Have you, in fact -- no, before I leave that. 16 Did you at some point in 2007 find that FDLE had you in 17 17 why you were in the retirement? active status? 18 18 A. No. Q. Now, at the time you received that initial 19 A. Yes. 19 20 Q. Was that changed? 20 letter you were notified that you had been removed --21 A. Retro to the time that we're asking, retro to 21 the City -- excuse me -- the City received notice that 22 my retirement. you had been removed from the retirement? 22 A. It was -- I think Commissioner -- Coerper was Q. When that occurred up until that time, had you 23 23 had any question -- did you know how you were being 24 the Mayor at the time, and he informed me, but I really regarded as active or inactive with FDLE? can't tell you whether it was television or the letter, FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

78

ደበ

but I think they came the same day, if I'm not mistaken, but real close. My first was the television. 2 3 Q. And at that time, was it your decision to contest your being removed -- or frankly the City's 5 decision to contest your being removed? A. Both, absolutely. 6 Q. Again, from 2002 -- from 2004 particularly 7 8 until 2007, no one had ever raised this issue with you 9 or the City to your knowledge? A. Never until Mr. Grapski and Mr. Little. 10 11 Q. And in the letter of June 28, I believe it is, 2007, it states that you'd been reassigned to the Senior 12 Management Services Class. Did you ever -- were you 13 ever offered an election at that time to remain in the 14 Special Risk Class? 15 A. No. 16 Q. I want to talk to you about your role with the 17 Alachua Police Department while you have been the 18 19 permanent city manager.

A. I did not know at that time there was 1 something I needed to do, but they informed me and I did 2 3 it. Q. And did you remain certified after that? 4 5 A. Yes. In an inactive status? 7 That's correct. But your certification is still required 8 9 pursuant to your contract? 10 A. It's still active -- it's inactive status, but I still have any certification. 11 12 Q. Mr. Watson, at any time between 2002, June of 2002 when you became Interim City Manager and to date 13 but certainly until June of 2007 almost five years to the day later when you were removed, had you known there 15 was -- had you known that you were not going to be allowed to remain in high risk, tell this Commission 17 what you would have done. 18 19 I would have immediately gone back to the 20 police department, which -- no doubt. The Commission 21 knew that. That's on the record at public meetings. 22 And everything was done in a public commission 23 meeting. Nothing was done in an office. It was all

Q. Explain -- does the City now have assistant

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. And how many?

I hired two.

city managers?

Α.

20

21

22

23

24

25

done with the public there to make comments and

statements about all of these actions that we made.

1	Q. Mr. Watson, going back to 2002 when you became	1	Q. And at any time had you been asked by anyon-
2	the City Manager	2	from the Department or otherwise to provide were you
3	A. Yes.	3	asked to provide any documentation prior to you being
4	Q there was a Traci Cain that was working for	4	removed?
5	the City?	5	A. No.
6	A. That's correct.	6	Q. Were you asked regarding what you were doin
7	Q. What immediately happened at the time you took	7	the job you were doing, or any of the contracts placed
8	over?	8	in evidence here? Had any of that been presented to the
9	A. She took the Family Leave Family Medical	9	Department by the City to the Division excuse me
10	Leave Act. She was having a baby and had some	10	prior to your having notice that you had been removed
11	difficulties so she was gone for a while.	11	retroactive to 2002?
12	Q. Was there a finance director?	12	A. Not until the letter and the news media
13	A. They left, too.	13	TV-20 I think that people who complained went to the
14	Q. When the finance director left, were you aware	14	media, if I'm not mistaken.
15	of how you were actually being reported to retirement?	15	Q. Just one second, please.
16	A. I assume that I was being reported as high	16	Mr. Watson, I just want to go back just to get
17	risk, and let me be clear	17	this on the record for certainly later, you've mentioned
18	Q. I want to make sure this Commission	18	that Mr. Grapski was involved in several cases involving
19	understands, was that something you showed paperwork to	19	the City of Alachua all of which I think you said cost
20	show how you were being reported?	20	close to a million dollars in fees and costs to the
21	A. Well, I never dealt with it, no. I never	21	City?
22	dealt with it, but a lot of the people left when I first	22	A. Probably a bit more than a million with staff
23	became City Manager because the City was really in a	23	and everything. I mean
24	chaotic state.	24	Q. And to date, has Mr. Grapski won anything?
25	Q. Mr. Watson, have you announced your plans with	25	MS. STEVENS: I would object again. I'd just
	82		84
	02		04
1	the City?		
2		1	like to have a continuing objection to relevance
~	A. Yes. I had talked with the Commission back in	1 2	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski.
3	A. Yes. I had talked with the Commission back in '07 before this came about that I was going to be		regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH:
	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just	2	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was?
3	'07 before this came about that I was going to be	2 3	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has
3 4	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which	2 3 4	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them.
3 4 5	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12	2 3 4 5	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his
3 4 5 6	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which	2 3 4 5 6	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted,
3 4 5 6 7	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose.	2 3 4 5 6 7	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his
3 4 5 6 7 8	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted,
3 4 5 6 7 8	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year. Q. 2010? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STEVENS:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year. Q. 2010? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STEVENS: Q. Just a couple questions for you, Mr. Watson.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year. Q. 2010? A. That's correct. Q. Since Traci Cane returned to the City after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STEVENS: Q. Just a couple questions for you, Mr. Watson. Thank you. Your first contract didn't include the terms
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year. Q. 2010? A. That's correct. Q. Since Traci Cane returned to the City after her medical leave, has she been the person in the City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STEVENS: Q. Just a couple questions for you, Mr. Watson. Thank you. Your first contract didn't include the terms "ex officio," did it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year. Q. 2010? A. That's correct. Q. Since Traci Cane returned to the City after her medical leave, has she been the person in the City most responsible for the operation of human resources	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STEVENS: Q. Just a couple questions for you, Mr. Watson. Thank you. Your first contract didn't include the terms "ex officio," did it? A. I don't think it did the first time. I think
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	'07 before this came about that I was going to be retiring in November of '08, but certainly I just couldn't leave the way things are now, because under Senior Management, I think I would have to be 62, which I would lose five percent a year until which is 12 years, so I just couldn't; but because of a number of circumstances, I am retiring even if I lose. Q. Have you already announced your retirement date to the City? A. Yes. Q. When is that? A. November of next year. Q. 2010? A. That's correct. Q. Since Traci Cane returned to the City after her medical leave, has she been the person in the City most responsible for the operation of human resources and personnel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regarding anything about Mr. Grapski. BY MR. SMITH: Q. Your answer was? A. He hasn't won any of the lawsuits, but it has cost us a great deal of money having to defend them. Q. And at the time that Mr. Grapski made his complaint with the Division and upon which they acted, to your knowledge, had Mr. Grapski been subject to one or more criminal charges against him? A. Several, and he also injured a female officer, and he's done a lot of stuff. MR. SMITH: I have nothing further. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. STEVENS: Q. Just a couple questions for you, Mr. Watson. Thank you. Your first contract didn't include the terms "ex officio," did it? A. I don't think it did the first time. I think it just stated keep my certificate active, something.

25 promoted her to Assistant City Manager.

24

A. Duties? Human Resource Director. Then I

Q. And you were initially listed as the City

24 clarification of what it meant, yes, ma'am.

- Manager slash Police Commissioner in your initial
- 2 contract?

3

- A. That's correct.
- 4 And at that time, the City still reported you
- to FDLE as a full-time law enforcement officer: isn't 5
- 6 that correct?
- 7 A. I don't know whether it was law enforcement officer or high risk, but whatever the process was, I 8
- was -- I can't tell you how they did it because I didn't 9
- see the paperwork, but I knew they kept me in that 10
- high-risk category, and however they needed to do that 11
- to do it they did it. 12
- Q. But you're not aware of how you were being 13
- reported to FDLE at the time? 14
- A. Are you talking about --15
- Q. How you were -- I'm sorry. Maybe I wasn't 16
- clear. The City was -- is it correct that the City kept 17
- reporting you to FDLE as a full-time law enforcement 18
- officer after your appointment to City Manager? 19
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And isn't it correct that the city reported
- you to FDLE as a full-time law enforcement officer until 22
- 2007? 23

1

6

9

- 24 That's correct.
- Isn't it true that you made arrests while you 25

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

- Q. Yes.
- Yes. 2 Α.

3

4

13

- Q. 2007. Yes.
- A. But as a sworn officer, now -- to clarify
- 5 that, if I may -- you can do anything. You can have a
- number of people who work with the agency who are not 6
- 7 sworn. Our dispatchers are not sworn; so when I have
- assisted the police department, I did not do it as a 8
- sworn officer, I did it as City Manager supervising the 9
- 10 Chief and supervising the department. As I alluded to
- earlier, when you are in a city for so many years and 11
- know everyone, you're just needed. 12
 - Q. Sure.
- A. So it's something the Commission wanted and 14
- needed me to do, and actually the department needed me 15
- 16 to do it for us to be successful during those times.
- Q. Now, in your original contract it said that 17
- 18 you were going to continue participating in criminal
- 19 investigations; is that correct?
- That's correct, and I have. 20
- 21 Do you still do that?
- 22 Absolutely, to this day. Just not long ago
- they called me to look at a video because I probably
- would have been the only one who would have known who it
- could have been; so I do participate, but not as a sworn

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

86

- were City Manager?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. And isn't it true that in 2007 you received a
- letter from FDLE that stated that at that time it was 4
- the opinion of FDLE prior to filing an affidavit of 5 separation that you were in violation of the
- dual-office-holding provision of the Florida 7
- 8 Constitution?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And in that letter they also said they were 10
- separating you as a full-time law enforcement officer? 11
- 12 A. That's correct, and I did the paperwork for
- it, and they did it retro from the time I was Interim 13
- 14 Manager.
- Q. And didn't they also ask you to cease any of 15
- the duties involved with being a law enforcement 16
- 17 officer?

21

- That's correct, and I did. 18
- Q. That's good. Is your certification currently 19
- in the special status? 20
 - A. Inactive, yes.
- 22 Q. And were you notified that you could only

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE PLORIDA 850.222.5491

- associate with a law enforcement agency for the 23
- mandatory retraining purposes? 24
- 25 A. Well, in '07? You mean in 2007?

- 1 officer. Just as an employee for the City who assists
- because I supervise that agency, and certainly I want to
- 3 make sure we're successful in the handling of our
- citizens and our criminal investigations. 4
- 5 Q. Isn't it true the City filed the Affidavit of
- 6 Separation with FDLE in 2007?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And it separated you from your full-time law
- 9 enforcement officer position?
- A. It separated me from active to inactive status 10
- with my certification, yes, ma'am. 11
- Q. And that Affidavit of Separation was 12
- retroactive to June 5, 2005? 13
- 14 That's correct. 2002. Α.
- I'm sorry. I misstated. 2002. That's 15 Q.
- 16 correct.

- Now, you now maintain that your Police 17
- Commissioner title was ex officio; is that correct? 18
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And this title simply emphasizes your
- continued eligibility to reinstatement to the Alachua 21
- Police Department; is that correct? 22
 - For the reasons I indicated earlier, that, as
- well as being involved and things of that nature, but
- it's ex officio. That's what it's determined to be, and

- 1 we did that to make sure we were clarifying so it would
- 2 not appear there was dual office holding because we
- 3 wanted to make sure we did not have that perception
- 4 there because that was not the intent.
- Q. So you now admit you were not a sworn officer
- 6 after taking the position as a City Manager?
 - A. Yes.

8

- Q. And that's retroactive?
- A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. And is it correct that when you accepted your
- 11 position as City Manager, you resigned from your
- 12 position as a law enforcement officer?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 14 Q. And you admit you did not receive any
- 15 compensation for being a Police Commissioner?
- 16 A. I was -- yeah. I was the paid as City
- 17 Manager.
- 18 Q. Is it true the City -- is it true the Police
- 19 Commissioner position is a non-salaried position?
- 20 A. It's ex officio, that is correct. I was paid
- 21 one salary, one line item forever, even before any --
- 22 from 2002 until now, it never changed. One line item,
- 23 one salary as the City Manager, yes, that's correct.
- Q. Now, at the time you were appointed to the
- 25 City Manager position, it's true that keeping Special

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

- 1 Q. Yet even knowing that, you still didn't call
- 2 the Division and ask if you would still be entitled to
- 3 Special Risk benefits before accepting the position?
 - A. No, I did not.

4

5

8

13

23

3

16

20

21

- Q. And at the time you were appointed City
- 6 Manager, you didn't notify the Division in any way to
- 7 let us know that you were changing positions, did you?
 - A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. And to your knowledge, did the City at the
- 10 time you moved positions to City Manager, did the City
- 11 contact the Division and let us know of your change, to
- 12 your knowledge?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- 14 MS. STEVENS: Okay. I don't have any more guestions.
- 16 MR. SMITH: Just a couple follow up.
- 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. SMITH:
- 19 Q. At the time you were named to the City Manager
- 20 position, how was your salary changed and how was it
- 21 reported? What was the change in the salary you
- 22 received on a monthly basis?
 - A. Well, I was -- as Deputy Chief I think I was
- 24 making around 54 -- 52, somewhere in that area, and I
- 25 think as City Manager it was around 80.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

90

- 1 Risk was very important to you?
- 2 A. Absolutely. That's why I'm here today.
- 3 Q. And you admit it was negotiated in your
- 4 contract?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. I think it says -- in your affidavit at the
- 7 time you accepted your position, you spoke with the
- 8 Police Chief regarding being reported properly to the
- 9 Division?
- 10 A. Not necessarily properly, just to continue to
- 11 report me so that I would get high risk, yes, based on
- 12 the -- after the Commission meeting, when the
- 13 legislature made the decision to do everything as I had
- 14 requested, then I made sure -- well, he was there, but I
- 15 followed it up with a conversation, of course, and he
- 16 knew because he was there at the meeting when the
- 17 Commission approved that.
- 18 Q. And at the time, you said that you knew you
- 19 didn't have a personnel or human resources department?
- 20 A. Yes. I just took the job like in a couple
- 21 minutes, so it was pretty --
- Q. But you didn't have a human resources or
- 23 personnel department while you were Interim City
- 24 Manager, did you?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. Was that significantly hirer than police chiefin the City was making?
 - A. Oh, yeah.
- Q. At the time the reports were filed which
- 5 showed that you were now making significantly more than
- 6 a police chief, did anybody from the Department ever
- 7 call or make any inquiry as to why you were suddenly now
- 8 making \$80,000 prorated monthly for the reports?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. At the time that you were asked a question
- 11 regarding your effectively resigning -- I want to go
- 12 back to this. At the time you were removed from high
- 13 risk by the letter to Gib Coerper from the Department,
- 14 at that time had the FDLE even -- had they removed you
- 15 from the sworn status?
 - A. That happened later.
- 17 Q. So at the time they removed you, you were
- 18 still listed as a sworn police officer even with the
- 19 records of FDLE?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. At the time that you found out what the
- 22 problem was, were you still after 2002 -- 2007, excuse
- 23 me -- after 2002, were you still continuously required
- 24 to remain certified even though you were no longer
- 25 sworn?

1	A. That's correct, and I am right now.	1	may not be quite as versed in those areas as they need
2	Q. So as far as you knew until 2007 you were	2	to be.
3	being listed both as a police officer and reported as	3	Q. Yes, sir. Now, did you pay any on your
4	high risk and no one either the city nor the	4	retirement at any time, or was the City paying your
5	Department ever raised that?	5	retirement from the beginning?
6	A. Not until this incident in	6	A. The City pays the retirement of all the City
7	Q. Even after 2004 when the Department	7	employees, none of the employees add anything to that.
8	representative was there when you talked about being on	8	You can have your separate retirement if you do, but the
9	the plan?	9	state retirement system and the one we have previously,
10	A. No. They didn't have an issue at that time.	10	the City paid that.
11	MR. SMITH: Nothing further.	11	Q. Has the State returned, to your knowledge, any
12	MS. STEVENS: Just a couple just two	12	money that you had been paid in on your position?
13	follow-up questions. Just two.	13	A. I think the State tried to after '07 when this
14	RECROSS EXAMINATION	14	all happened, but before that, no, sir. And the City
15	BY MS. STEVENS:	15	did not accept the voucher. It was a credit that they
16	Q. One: Who filed your retirement reports in	16	sent to the City, and we did not accept that because we
17	2002?	17	wanted to address all of these issues before this board
18	A. Maybe Nat Hadsock. When I became the City	18	before we took any actions.
19	Manager, the City was sort of in disarray and a lot of	19	Q. Whatever the reasons were, the communication
20	people just quit at that time. You know, there was some	20	between the City of Alachua and the State the
21	problems there; so I think Nat Hadsock was the Assistant	21	Department of Retirement was very disjointed, wasn't it?
22	Finance Director and he set in. We had sort of a	22	A. When the City was going through a transition,
23	skeleton crew there for a while. There were some	23	things could have been done better.
24	perceptions that wasn't good for a little bit, but	24	COMMISSIONER DOSTER: Thank you, sir, that
25	everything came around, and the community came together,	25	all.
25		20	·
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491		FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
	94		
4	but it was just a tough time	1	EXAMINATION
1	but it was just a tough time. Q. And	2	BY COMMISSIONER SEAY:
2		3	Q. When you left your position as Deputy Chief in
3	A. I think Nat Hadsock, if I'm not mistaken, and		2002, did you fill that position with someone else, was
4	he was either the Assistant Finance Director at the time	4 5	that position filled?
5	or just a clerk working in the finance department, but I	_	·
6	think he was all left, if I remember correctly.	6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And just one more question. The Division of	7	Q. Deputy Chief?
8	Retirement was not a party to any of your employment	8	A. Yes.
9	contracts, were we?	9	Q. And I had a little confusion maybe this
10	A. No.	10	you didn't address this in your testimony, that you
11	MS. STEVENS: Thank you.	11	should have been given an opportunity to select what
12	MR. SMITH: Nothing further.	12	plan you go in or is that not part of this? Is that
13	CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Doster, do you have		I got the 90-day
14	questions?	14	A. Right. I didn't, and I wasn't aware at the
15	COMMISSIONER DOSTER: Just a couple.	15	time. When the Commission voted me in as the Manager, I
16	EXAMINATION	16	elected to stay in the Special Risk, but I didn't know I
17	BY COMMISSIONER DOSTER:	17	had to do anything other than continue.
18	Q. Mr. Watson, you described what the situation	18	Q. Could you have stayed at Special Risk at that
19	was the entire time. You had people employed who were	19	point even though you were City Manager? Could you have

21

22

23

24

25

that I can.

not properly trained, did you not? I'm talking about

who was taking care of the finances and everything.

A. We -- yes, sir, we had some problems as a

small municipality does. We experienced some growing

pains; so oftentimes when you have a small city, you

25 have a couple of people doing a lot of things, and they

20

21

22

23

24

A. It was my understanding I could, and the

understanding as well. But it's still my understanding

Commission approved it, and I think it was their

elected to remain in Special Risk --

EXAMINATION

Q. Did you not feel that you had some

BY CHAIRPERSON MYERS:

2

3

100

A. I'm divorced. I have five sons. One of my

son's -- my oldest's boy's 32. He's the manager of

Gainesville Nissan. I have one in the university. Two

responsibility to report to the state retirement your 4 boys in high school -- bigger than I am, much bigger, 4 5 change of position? and I have one son who's working at another dealership, so I have five boys. I wasn't lucky enough to get any 6 A. Madame Chair, I think I could have done things 6 7 girls, so I have to have grand daughters later, and my better. I could have done things better having known or 7 should have known. 8 oldest boy has clothes to fist, so that's an awful lot R 9 Q. I mean, really, did you get these handbooks? of clothes going around. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: That's all the questions I I thought they were sent out to everybody who 10 10 11 11 participated? have. Now, do we have other witnesses? 12 A. I have that book, but I -- to be honest with 12 you, when I start reading that book was '07 when all of 13 MR. SMITH: Yes. I'll go get my next witness. 13 14 The Petitioner calls Traci Cain. this happened. I'm quite familiar with the book now, 14 * * * * * 15 15 but --16 Q. Now that your status is ex officio, you really 16 Whereupon, 17 have no rights other than as a -- to make arrests other TRACI CAIN 17 than as a public person. I mean, as a citizen's arrest 18 was called as a witness, having been first duly sworn to 18 19 or something like that. You have no rights as in the speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the police capacity? 20 truth, was examined and testified as follows: 20 21 DIPECT EXAMINATION 21 A. That's correct. 22 Q. Okay. What was your main decision to decide 22 BY MR. SMITH: 23 Q. And, Ms. Cain, you were previously sworn. 23 to retire in 2010? A. Actually, I decided to retire in 2008. That 24 Yes, sir. 24 25 Q. State your full name and spell your last name, 25 was a pact I had with my family. I do take care of my FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 Q2 disabled mother, and I am looking at other please, for the Commissioners. 1 A. Traci Cain, C-a-i-n. 2 opportunities, but I was looking at having that little 3 extra time. I'm one of those 24-hour type employees. I Q. And where are you employed? City of Alachua. like to get things done. 4 5 Q. Ms. Cain, where do you reside? 5 I had planned to move her in in '08. That's 6 just something me and my family had decided to do. In Alachua. Q. How long have you resided in Alachua? She's of ill health, on a breather; so 2008 was 7 7 8 My entire life. something we planned probably in '04, '06 for me to do. 8 And when this came about, we certainly -- I 9 And I'm not about to ask you how long that is, 9 but I will ask you how long you've worked for the City had to regroup because I couldn't leave, and at least 10 10 11 of Alachua? 11 until we get some closure here. But now I have other A. A little over 17 years. 12 family members kicking in, so we're okay there, and I'm 12 Q. And what positions have you held in the City 13 looking at other opportunities. I just -- I've been 13 14 of Alachua? For instance, when you got hired, what did 14 with the City a long time. 15 Q. Could you go back to the police department; is 15 16 A. I was a fiscal assistant. Helped out with 16 that one of your options? utility billing. Doing some of the financial work. 17 A. And that may be something I will be doing even 17 Doing some of the -- there was only about four or five before I leave in November of next year. I have another 18 year and a half, and I'm considering certainly if this clerical in the office, and so we handled a lot of the 19 is not successful, I will go back as soon as I know --20 paperwork and routine clerical stuff. 20 21 Q. I want this Commission to understand, when you if I win, I'm retiring. If I don't, I'm going back to 21 say there were four or five people, clerical people, 22 APD at least for the remainder of my tenure, but I am leaving in November of '10 under all circumstances. 23 that was for the entire City? 23 24 There's some things I have to do. 24 Yes, sir,

25

Q. Are you married?

thing, what other functions did you-all have to carry out as clerical staff in those days?

2

8

9

24

2

5

10

A. We did all of the -- the City has a full 3 service utilities. We service the electric, water, 4 waste water, garbage collection, and mosquito control 5 for the City. And, of course, we had to send out all ദ the utility bills, all the financial documents, public 7

service related information, you know, all of the administrative duties that the City would carry out.

- Q. Do you know, when you were hired, who was 10 11 responsible for reporting the retirement for those who 12 were in the state plan?
- A. The finance department would have been 13 responsible for that. 14
- Q. Do you know who the in finance department had 15 16 that responsibility?
- A. At the time I was first employed? Probably at 17 18 that time, Ellen Everette.
- Q. What were Ellen's other responsibilities? 19
- 20 A. Utility billing, taking calls, taking utility payments. I mean, there were four people that reported 21 22 -- a secretary to the City Manager, someone that was the secretary to the public services department, and me, and 23
- customer service stuff, all -- she did the payroll, the

another clerical staff that basically handled all of the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

- A. He was Deputy Chief. 1
- 2 And had you known him to be in the department
- 3 for a great number of years?
- 4 He had been employed since before I was.
- Okay. Now, in 2002, did you become aware that 5 6
 - Mr. Watson was named as the Interim City Manager?
 - Yes, sir.

7

8

10

25

3

10

21

- Q. What was your position at the time Mr. Watson
- 9 was the Interim City Manager?
 - Assistant City Manager.
- And were you the only Assistant City Manager? 11
- 12 Yes, sir.
- 13 Okay. And as such, do you know in 2002 how
- people were being reported of those who were then in the 14
- state retirement system who was doing that? 15
- A. That was being reported by the finance 16
- 17 department still at that time.
- 18 And do you know who in Department did it?
- I believe in 2002 that would have probably 19
- 20 been Nat Hadsock.
- Q. Do you have -- do you have any idea that 21
- Mr. Hadsock had any training or whatever? Pension or 22
- 23 pension reporting?
- 24 No, sir, not to my knowledge.
 - Can you tell the Commission what -- how the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

102

- accounting, all of that type of stuff.
 - Q. Now, in 2000 were you employed with the City?
- Yes, sir. 3 Α.
- Q. What was your position by the year 2000? 4
 - 2000 -- Assistant City Manager.
- Q. And I won't go through names but between 2000 6
- 7 and 2002, did you serve as -- were you aware that there
- was a number of people who held the title of city 8
- 9 manager in the City of Alachua?
 - A. Yes. sir.
- Q. In fact, was it true from the last person in 11
- 12 2000 until Clovis Watson, he made the fifth person to
- hold that title in that period of time? 13
- 14 A. That's correct.
- And one of the people that was hired from out 15 of town virtually was there for just a matter of months? 16
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Did you actually hold the position for a short 18 Q. 19 period of time?
- 20 A. I did. I was Interim City Manager for nine 21 months.
- Q. During the period of time that you were the 22
- 23 Interim City Manager, did you know Clovis Watson?
- Yes, sir. 24
- 25 What was his position at that time?

- reports were actually sent from the City, how the 1
- monthly reports were sent as to those employees in 2002 2
 - who actually were in the state plan?
- A. I believe at that time they already were 4
- electronically sent, so at the end of the payroll at the 5
- end of the month, the City's financial system would 6
- 7 print out a printout with everyone's salaries and the
- amount of the retirement contribution. 8
- 9 And then they would go into the Internet to

the FRS web site, and each person would -- was already

- listed, and then you would click on that individual 11
- person and key in their salary, and then the computer 12
- 13 would automatically know what the contribution rate was.
- 14 We would compare it to our report to make sure
- that the amounts were the same, and then submit it 15
- 16 electronically.
- Q. And to your knowledge -- at my request -- have 17
- 18 you gone back and reviewed the history of the reports?
- What was the reporting code for people who were in the 19
- Special Risk plan in 2002? 20
 - A. I believe that is HB.
- Q. And were you aware that Mr. Watson continued 22
- in HB after 2002? 23
- 24 Yes, sir,
- Now, you actually -- when Mr. Watson came into 25

office, did you take on another status? At first, did you leave the City for a period of time? 2

- A. I did. I was out on medical leave. I was 3 pregnant and out on FMLA for several months. 4
 - Q. Do you know -- when you were out as the Assistant City Manager, and Mr. Watson took office, do you know -- it was still in the finance department at

that time, correct? 8

5

6

7

9

- A. It was. The finance director also left around 10 that same period.
- Q. Now, do you know who or if anybody in the 11 Department ever changed the method of filing
- 12 Mr. Watson's reports? 13
- A. I know that no one changed the method, no. 14
- 15 Now, when did you return to the City?
- 16 A. I actually went back to work in June of 2003.
- Q. Did you remain there until 2007? Were you 17
- there continuously --18
- 19 Oh, yes.
- 20 Q. -- from 2003 to 2007?
- Yes. 21
- Q. In that interim, was there a time in which the 22
- City of Alachua changed -- made a major change as it 23
- related to their relationship with the Florida 24
- Retirement System?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

- Q. Do you know -- and do you have records at my
- request that you reviewed that you communicated in 2
- writing with Mr. Snuggs and the Division during 2004 on 3
- 4 more than one occasion?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And in each occasion, who was listed as the 6
- 7 City Manager?

5

8

12

22

- A. Mr. Watson.
- 9 Q. And at the meeting, were you present when
- Mr. Watson talked about with Mr. -- the presence of 10
- other employees about being on Special Risk? 11
 - A. Yes. I actually introduced him to Mr. Snuggs.
- 13 Q. And after 2004, did anyone raise a question --
- or did you have any issue from the Department regarding 14
- the fact that he was the City Manager/Police 15
- 16 Commissioner and still on the State's high risk
- 17 retirement?
- 18 A. I had no communication.
- Q. Have you at my request gone back and reviewed 19
- 20 the personnel file of -- and actually prepared and
- submitted the personnel file of Mr. Watson? 21
 - A. Yes.
- Is that the entire file of Mr. Watson? 23
- 24 Yes. Α.
- 25 In your review of that or any other documents

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

106

108

- A. Yes, sir. One of my main goals and one of -what the City Manager asked when I came back was that --2 we had been a member of the Florida League of Cities 3
- since 1996, and any new employees hired since 1996 were
- with the Florida League of Cities' retirement plan, and 5
- any employees hired prior to that were with the Florida 6
- Retirement System, and we had decided to try to 7
- 8 reinstate our membership into the FRS for all of our
- 9 employees.

4

10

- Q. Did that happen?
- 11 That did happen.
- Q. Did you communicate on behalf of the City with 12
- 13 the Department?
- A. Yes, sir. 14
- With the Division, excuse me. 15
- 16 In particular, do you know -- was a
- 17 representative or representatives of the Division, did
- they actually come and visit with the City? 18
- 19 A. Yes, sir. We had our -- I remember Mr. Andy
- Snuggs came and did a presentation to our employees 20
- before we actually rejoined the Florida Retirement 21
- System to give our new employees and the older 22
- 23 employees -- tell them about both plans, the pension
- plan and the investment plan, and tell them what their 24
- options were before they joined.

- related to retirement, has Mr. Watson -- to your
- knowledge, had he ever been notified prior to June 28, 2
- 2007 that he needed do anything regarding his 3
- 4 retirement?

5

20

- Not to my knowledge.
- 6 Q. I want to go back -- I know you left at the
- 7 time Mr. Watson came in for a period of time on
- 8 pregnancy leave, but I want to back up for a moment.
- 9 Were you aware of -- were you present at the
- City Commission meeting when Mr. Watson was appointed as 10
- -- and accepted the position as Interim City Manager? 11
- A. I was not at the meeting when he was Interim 12
- 13 City Manager.
- 14 Q. How about permanent?
- 15 Yes, sir.
- Q. And were you aware that Mr. Watson expressed 16
- 17 to the Commission and the Commission agreed that he had
- certain things that he would require before he would 18
- 19 take on or retain that position?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And tell the Commission what Mr. Watson's
- 22 biggest concern was.
 - A. That he remain in high risk and stay
- certified; that that was very important for him for the
- years that he had already put into the police

department. I mean, he and I actually had conversations
about that prior to him accepting the position.

- Q. When he was named the City Manager/Police Commissioner, had anyone in the City ever held that title before?
 - A. No, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

18

- Q. Do you know -- and did the Commission express why they were having the additional title "Police Commissioner" attached to Mr. Watson's name?
- A. To show that they wanted him to remain certified and be very much involved in the police department and the every-day operation of the police department because he had for so many years.
- Q. Ms. Cain, since 2002, from your attorney -excuse me, in 2003, have you had an opportunity to
 observe Mr. Watson's involvement in the day-to-day
 operations of the Alachua Police Department?
 - A. Definitely.
- Q. Are there city managers now in the City
 besides yourself -- assistant city managers besides
 yourself?
 A There is one additional assistant city
- A. There is one additional assistant citymanager.
- Q. And do you have specific -- each of you havespecific assignments as you supervise certain

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1 starting as early as June of 2002 and continuing

2 actually to date involving Mr. Watson's employment?

A. Yes, sir.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

a

a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. Isn't it true that in each of those occasions

Mr. Watson has been required to retain his certification
and remain directly involved in the police department in
order that he would be eligible for reinstatement at his
direction or the City's direction?

A. Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Smith, we have heard all of this before.

MR. SMITH: Okay. Well, I just don't want it to come back later that I've only had it through -CHAIRPERSON MYERS: This is repetitive.

MR. SMITH: As long as that's your position, I'm fine with it, but I don't want anybody later saying I only put on the witness himself. I'm always careful about somebody saying he's self-serving, so I want to make sure.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: It's gone on long enough.

21 MR. SMITH: Good. Me too.

22 BY MR. SMITH:

Q. Have you, at my request, gone back and computed the impact of the City of what they would have to pay Mr. Watson if he is disallowed this retirement?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

112

110

departments?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do either of the you supervise the police 4 department?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. Why is that?
- 7 A. He was to remain directly under the City
- 8 Manager.
- 9 Q. He meaning the Police Chief?
- 10 A. The Police Chief.
- Q. Are you aware that Mr. Watson has been
 actively involved in decisions regarding both the police
 department and police operations since you've returned?
- 14 A. Yes sir.
- 15 Q. Ms. Cain, as you have gone back and reviewed
- 16 the files of the City at my request as well as the
- 17 personnel file, has the City or anyone -- had anyone
- 18 required of Mr. Watson to do anything about his
- 19 retirement that is reflected in any of the records of
- 20 the City or the records of Mr. Watson prior to his being
- 21 notified in June 2007 that he was removed from the
- 22 Special Risk plan?

23

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity -- are you aware
- 25 that there have been a series of contracts with the City

MS. STEVENS: Objection. I don't see how what the City has to pay is relevant to the entitlement to Special Risk benefits.

MR. SMITH: I think when we go to the issue of the equities, and this commission is specifically -- Hickey being the case I most recently cited to you, but there are several cases where this City -- this Commission has said, Your role is also to try to do the fair thing in light of all the circumstances.

I believe it's important that people understand that this City unknowingly has exposed a small town for a huge liability to make up for the shortfall of his retirement.

CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Well, I think that's between the Petitioner and the City of what agreement they have; that, unfortunately, if somebody made a mistake, that's their fault, and we cannot rectify that, and so it is not a part of our decision.

BY MR. SMITH:

Q. Let me try a question a different way. In the
event that Mr. Watson is continued in the Special Risk
Retirement, does the City incur any additional liability
if he is allowed to continue in it?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

In the -that if they're not in a position that is listed, one of 1 Α. those positions that are qualified that are already 2 2 Q. Special Risk. 3 listed, that an application would need to be filled out. 3 No. We've been contributing at Special Risk. Q. Do you know whether a city manager is one of 4 And has the City accepted any money or 4 vouchers in terms of refunds from the Department as it 5 the listed positions? 5 6 A. I do not think it is. 6 relates to Mr. Watson's -- those contributions made on Q. And what you just said was supposed to happen, 7 behalf of Mr. Watson --7 A. We receive a credit invoice monthly; however, 8 did that happen with Mr. Watson? 8 9 It did not happen, but we were currently -- at 9 we have not taken that credit invoice on any of our that time, we were not with the Florida Retirement 10 monthly contributions. 10 System for any new employees; we were with the Florida 11 Q. Because somebody else asked the question 11 League of Cities. And all of our new hires for the earlier, at the time -- from 2002 to 2003, 2004, those 12 12 years -- were you aware of whether or not anybody in the 13 previous, probably, eight years prior to that, we had 13 gone to the Florida League of Cities in 1996, so anyone City had any specific assignment and training in 14 that we were hiring prior to that, we were reporting all 15 retirement other than part of many other duties they 15 of our new hires to the Florida League of Cities. perform? 16 16 Q. But you still had FRS employees? 17 A. Specific training, no, sir, no. 17 18 Correct. 18 Q. As a matter of fact, until you were rehired in And you were still reporting them monthly to 19 2003, there wasn't a personnel department or a human 19 the Division? 20 resources department? 20 21 A. Correct. 21 A. No. And you still had the FRS Employer Handbook? 22 22 MR. SMITH: Nothing further. 23 23 * * * * * 24 And in the FRS Employer Handbook, it states CROSS-EXAMINATION which positions are the preapproved class codes that you 25 25 BY MS. STEVENS: FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

114

116

Q. Just a couple questions, Ms. Cain. How are 1 2 you doing? 3 A. Good. Good. Q. That's good. At the time Clovis was appointed 4 to City Manager, you said you were the Assistant City 5 6 Manager, correct? 7 8 Q. And to your knowledge, did anyone from the Division -- excuse me. You're now in charge of 9 retirement reports: is that correct? 10 11 A. Correct. 12 Do you have any special training? A. I have not received any special training. I 13 don't actually submit the reports. My assistant does. 14 But you're in charge of the retirement 15 Q. 16 reports? 17 Do you know if your assistant has any special 18 Q. training? 19 20 Α. No.

can report under HB?A. Correct.

A. Correct.
 And you

Q. And you did not do that -- or not you -- the City did not do that at that time even though they had that information?

A. It was not done.

7 Q. The Petitioner has the authority to terminate

8 you, doesn't he?

A. Certainly. I'm an at-will employee.

10 Q. And he's actually terminated you before,

11 hasn't he?

4

5

18

20

12 A. Yes, he has.

Q. Now, you said you were a City Manager. Whenyou were a City Manager, were you reported under the

15 Senior Management Service Class?

16 A. Yes, I had been, because I was an Assistant

17 City Manager before that.

Q. Was that negotiated into your contract?

19 A. The Senior Management?

Q. That's correct.

A. No. That was -- just continued because I had been an Assistant City Manager prior to that and was

23 only an interim. They were actually looking for a city

24 manager. They were doing a national search.

25 Q. Now, I think you talked briefly about there

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

If they are not in -- now, I know this now --

Special Risk employee changes positions?

And what is that?

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

Do you know what is supposed to happen when a

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1	being two assistant city managers, and Mr. Watson being	1	it's embedded.
2	directly over the police department, and the city	2	MS. COCHEU: But it's easier when you have
3	managers were directly over everybody else; is that what	3	questions when you want to
4	you said? Am I characterizing that correctly? Please	4	MR. SMITH: lagree. lagree. ljust have a
5	tell me if I'm not.	5	few follow-up.
6	A. That was reassignment in November, yes, ma'am.	6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	Q. November of 2008?	7	BY MR. SMITH:
8	A. Yes. Yes.	8	Q. You were asked about you were aware now how it
9	Q. So before that that wasn't the case?	9	works. Before all of this came up, were you aware of
10	A. There was only one assistant city manager	10	any of the responsibilities that were required of
11	before that.	11	somebody who went into Special Risk?
12	Q. Are you familiar with organizational charts?	12	A. I was not.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Do you know of anybody in the City that knew
14	Q. Was the organizational chart changed in	14	about any of that?
15	November of 2008?	15	A. No.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Now, I want to make sure this is clear. When
17	Q. Prior to the change in November of 2008, did	17	you say that Mr. Watson never received an election, have
18	it list Mr. Watson as head of every department within	18	you ever had anybody in the City get a choice to elect
19	the City?	19	to go into or remain in the plan?
20	A. Prior to 2008?	20	A. To remain in the plan
21	Q. I'm sorry. Prior to November of 2008.	21	Q. Or to stay in the Special Risk plan? Have you
22	A. Yes. I would think that it listed him over	22	ever gotten any information from the Division regarding
23	everybody.	23	somebody's coming into Special Risk into the City and
24	Q. And then in November of 2008, shortly before	24	changing their status?
25	this hearing, the organizational chart was changed,	25	A. Recently when we hired a new chief.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491		FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
	118		120

wasn't it? 2 2 It was changed, yes. 3 And then now it has Mr. Watson directly over the police department and two assistant city managers? 4 4 5 A. Yes, ma'am. 5 MS. STEVENS: I have no other questions. 6 7 MR. SMITH: I have a couple follow-up. 8 CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Before you do, we have to 8 9 ask the Board before you do follow-up. 10 MR. SMITH: Sure. We didn't do it that way 10 11 last time. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Because you jumped in, w42 12 13 13 let you go. COMMISSIONER SEAY: We change as we go. 14 MR. SMITH: Fine with me. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I was going to jump in, 16 17 but then I let you go ahead. MR. SMITH: Fine with me. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON MYERS: Mr. Doster, do you have 19 20 any questions? 20 21 21 COMMISSIONER DOSTER: No. COMMISSIONER SEAY: I don't have any 22 22 23 23 questions. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: I actually don't have any 24 questions. We've gone over all this so many times 25

Q. What happened?

A. I received -- we reported him as Special Risk, and because he had come from the sheriff's department of the county, I was sent stuff from the retirement that we had to apply for the position because the Chief of Police position was not a preapproved position.

Q. And that was sent to the City and to the

Q. And that was sent to the City and to the employee by the Division?

A. Yes.

Q. You were asked about Mr. Watson having the ability to fire you. Describe for this Commission your relationship with Mr. Watson.

A. We've always had a very good relationship. During the time when city manager -- was first appointed as the city manager, the City was going through a very difficult time. We had had five different city managers within two years. There was a lot of -- the City was growing. We had a lot of dissension in the City. I was out on leave.

We had several employees -- about eight at the time out of less than a hundred employees, probably less than 90 employees actually -- that had left the City.

We had a consultant in that was our finance director, and I believe that she had misled him in many ways, and I was terminated while I was out on leave as a

24

25

1	key employee under the FMLA.
2	In the end of December, hired back. Clovis
3	called me on his own and said that he had made a
4	mistake, and that he wanted me back. I had been with
5	the City at that time for probably 12 or 13 years, and
6	we had always been very close, and that he wanted me t
7	come back to the City and be the Human Resources
8	Director and start the Department up, and that, you
9	know, wanted everything to start over, and we've had a
10	great relationship ever since then. There's been no
11	problems.
12	Q. You were asked about the City organization
13	chart, the City organizational chart that was done in
14	November of 2008. To your knowledge, was anything in
15	that organizational chart structured differently as it
16	related to now you have the two city managers? Did that

have anything to do with this case to your knowledge. 17 A. No. I was actually -- I actually received a 18 promotion at that time. I was the Human Resources 19 Director, and I was promoted to Assistant City Manager 20 and taking on the planning and community development, 21 which consisted of our planning, our building, our codes 22 enforcement, our recreational department, IT department, 23 and administrative services department; so it was 24 something to -- you know, just reorganize the City and

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

3 No. Received -- his salary had been keyed in. He had been keyed in as a new employee. A new employee? Right. 6 A. And he was not currently an employee with your 7 Q. 8 agency? 9 That's correct. Q. Is that correct? 10 Yes, ma'am. 11 MS. STEVENS: Thank you. I have no further 12 13 questions. CHAIRPERSON MYERS: You can stay in the room 14 if you want. 15 16 (The witness was excused.) * * * * * 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

Q. But we -- the Division had received information regarding his position; is that correct?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

122

try to make things run more efficiently; so I was
 actually given a promotion and that is why that
 happened.
 MR. SMITH: Nothing further.

6 BY MS. STEVENS:

Q. Just one follow-up question or one follow-up
 issue, I guess. You just spoke about the election that
 Mr. DeCoursey --

I believe he's your current Chief of Police?

RECROSS EXAMINATION

11 A. Yes.

5

10

14

12 Q. -- the election he received, what type of an13 election was that?

A. For Special Risk.

15 Q. And was the Division notified of this

16 individual being the Chief of Police with your agency?

17 A. Not originally. I believe that he was just
18 reported. His salary was reported, and because his
19 Social Security number was in the system in the county,
20 it was probably around the exact same time he left the
21 county and came straight to the City; so we were sent
22 information that he had to apply for the Special Risk,
23 and we filled out all the necessary paperwork that

24 needed to go with that and sent it in, and he was

25 approved.